Total Synthesis of Vancomycin—Part 2: Retrosynthetic Analysis, Synthesis of Amino Acid Building Blocks and Strategy Evaluations

K. C. Nicolaou,* Christopher N. C. Boddy, Hui Li, Alexandros E. Koumbis, Robert Hughes, Swaminathan Natarajan, Nareshkumar F. Jain, Joshi M. Ramanjulu, Stefan Bräse, and Michael E. Solomon^[a]

Abstract: Retrosynthetic analysis of vancomycin (1) defined vancomycin's aglycon (2) and protected triazene 3 (Figure 1) as advanced intermediates for an eventual total synthesis. Sequential assembly of 3 as shown in Figure 2 (strategy I) and Figure 3 (strategy II) led to amino acid building blocks 8-10 and 12-15, respectively, representing vancomycin's amino acids AA-1 to

AA-7. These amino acid fragments were constructed by stereoselective routes and the two synthetic strategies were tested for feasibility. Strategy I, postulating construction of the vancomycin

Keywords: amino acids • antibiotics • synthetic methods • total synthesis • vancomycin main framework in the order of D-O-E \rightarrow D-O-E/C-O-D \rightarrow D-O-E/C-O-D/A-B, suffered from serious epimerization problems at the AA-4 stereocenter; while strategy II, involving the sequence C-O-D \rightarrow C-O-D/AB \rightarrow C-O-D/AB/D-O-E proved viable. These findings set the stage for the final drive towards vancomycin's aglycon (2) and vancomycin (1).

Introduction

In the preceding article, we described the design and development of methodologies which were considered suitable for application to the daunting challenge of the total synthesis of vancomycin (**1**, Figure 1).^[1] Specifically, a triazene-driven mild cyclization method was developed, and its power was demonstrated in the construction of vancomycin model C-O-D and D-O-E biaryl ether ring systems.^[1, 2] Furthermore, a strategy for the formation of the AB/C-O-D bicyclic framework of vancomycin based on sequential Suzuki coupling – macrolactamization approach was successfully tested.^[1, 3] These technologies laid the foundation for the next phase of the program, namely, a focused retrosynthetic analysis, the preparation of the requisite amino acid building blocks,^[4] and the evaluation of possible strategies towards the

[a] Prof. Dr. K. C. Nicolaou, C. N. C. Boddy, H. Li, Dr. A. E. Koumbis, R. Hughes, Dr. S. Natarajan, Dr. N. F. Jain, Dr. J. M. Ramanjulu, Dr. S. Bräse, Dr. M. E. Solomon
Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology
The Scripps Research Institute
10550 North Torrey Pines Road
La Jolla, CA 92037
and
Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry
University of California, San Diego
9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093
Fax: (+1)858 784-2469
E-mail: kcn@scripps.edu construction of the vancomycin main skeleton. In this paper, we describe our investigations along these lines and project forward to the next phase of the program.

Results and Discussion

Retrosynthetic analysis

The structure of vancomycin^[5] (1) comprises of a complex polycyclic framework carrying a colorful collection of appendages that includes amino, hydroxy, amido, carboxylic, phenolic, and chloride groups. The molecule can be divided into two segments, the vancomycin aglycon (2, Figure 1) and the disaccharide domain made of a vancosamine unit linked to a glucose unit through an α -glycoside bond. The disaccharide moiety is attached to the aglycon through a β -glycoside linkage at the phenolic group on ring-D. The admirable architecture of vancomycin presents an agonizing challenge to synthetic chemists.^[6-11] Thus, in addition to the five aromatic rings of its heptapeptide framework, this diabolical core carries eight stereogenic centers (plus one on the appended Nmethyl leucine). This structure is also cursed with three sites of atropisomerism which are associated with the macrocyclic systems AB, C-O-D, and D-O-E. The latter phenomenon has its origins in the substitution patterns of the aromatic rings involved (A, B, C and E) and the congested nature of the corresponding 12- and 16-membered macrocycles. Overall, the vancomycin framework is highly rigid and strained, providing synthetic chemists additional challenges with regard to its construction. Postponing the issue of transforming the triazene functionality to a phenolic group and attaching the sugar moieties onto a suitable acceptor enabled protected triazene **3** to be defined as the key subtarget for the synthesis (Figures 2 and 3). The indicated disconnections are discussed below.

The first retrosynthetic analysis of the basic framework of the vancomycin aglycon is shown in Figure 2 (Strategy I). Based on our model studies described in the preceding paper,^[1] we chose the retrosynthetic disconnection at the indicated amide bond of the AB ring system, unraveling potential macrolactamization precursor 4. The C-O-D macrocycle was then disconnected at the indicated aryl ether linkage, giving the precursor (5) for the triazene-driven biaryl ether formation reaction developed as part of this project. The cyclization substrate 5, upon disconnection at the marked peptide bond, led to fragments 6 and 7 as the most logical precursors. Disassembly of intermediate 6 by a retro Suzuki coupling and a retro peptide bond formation as indicated, led to key building blocks 8, 9 and 10, respectively. Macrocyclic system 7 was sequentially disconnected by a retro triazenedriven cyclization, leading to potential precursor 11, which was simply disassembled to its component amino acid fragments 12-15 by peptide bond disconnections as shown in Figure 2. The key question in this analysis was the sequence in which the macrocyclic rings were to be constructed. More specifically, while we were confident of the need to have the C-O-D ring in place in order to facilitate the construction of the AB ring system (requirement for preorganization), we had no basis to predict whether the construction of C-O-D should precede that of the of D-O-E (Figure 2) or vice versa.

An alternative (Strategy II) was, therefore, considered (Figure 3). Thus, the C-O-D ring would be constructed first by the triazene-driven cyclization of precursor 20, which should be accessible from building blocks 10, 12, and 21 (derived from building blocks 8 and 9). This C-O-D macrocycle should direct the construction of the C-O-D/AB system 18, which upon coupling with tripeptide acid 17, should lead to penultimate precursor 16. Tripeptide 17 could easily be prepared from amino acid building blocks 13-15. A final triazene-driven cyclization of compound 16 was then expected to allow entry into the desired skeleton 3.

Abstract in Greek:

Η ρετροσυνθετική αναλυσή της βανκομυκινής (1) προσδιορίσε το αγλυκο της (2) και την προστατευμένη τριαζίνη 3 (Φιγουρα 1) ως τα προχωρημένα ενδιαμέσα για μια ενδέχομενη ολική συνθέση της. Η διαδοχική ρετροαναλυσή της 3, οπως φαινεται στη Φιγουρα 2 (Στρατηγική 1) και στη Φιγουρα 3 (Στρατηγική 1), και στη Φιγουρα 3 (Στρατηγική 1), οδηγήσε στα ενδιαμέσα 8–10 και 12–15 αντιστοίχως, τα οποία αντιπροσωπέυουν τα AA-1 έως AA-7 αμινοξέα της βανκομυκινής. Αυτα τα ενδιαμέσα παρασκευαστηκαν μέσω στέρεοεκλεκτικών όδων και οι δύο συνθετικές στρατηγικές δοκιμαστηκαν ως προς την εφικτοτήτα τους. Η Στρατηγική 1 που περιλαμβανει τη συνθέση του κυρίως σκελετου της βανδιομικτίς κατα τη σείρα D-Ο-Ε-Ο-Ο-ΔΟ-Ο-Ε/C-Ο-D-ΔΟ-Ο-Ε/C-Ο-D/AB μειονεκτεί σών αφορα στα σοβαρα προβλημάτα επιμερείωσης στο ασυμμέτρο κέντρο του AA-4 αντίθετα η Στρατηγική 1 που ακολουθει τη συνθέσι τη συνθέσι τη συνθέσι τη συνθεσί τη συνθετική αλληλουχία C-Ο-D-Ο-Ο/AB-Ο-Ο/AB/D-Ο-Ε αποδεικτηκε βιασιμή. Αυτα τα αποτελεσματα έθεσαν τις βασές και ανοίζαν την όδο για τη συνθέση της βανκομυκινής (1) και του αγλυκου της (2).



Figure 1. Structure and retrosynthetic analysis of vancomycin (1) and vancomycin's aglycon (2) (AA-1 to AA-7 represent the seven amino acids of vancomycin, see numbering on structure 2).

Both strategies start from the same building blocks and are characterized by high degree of convergence. Both strategies leave the question of atropisomerism open and at the mercy of experimentation, even though possible solutions were contemplated. Such potential solutions included: a) thermal equilibration of the undesired atropisomers to the desired ones;^[7d] b) temporary use of bulky substituents on the



Figure 2. Retrosynthetic analysis of protected triazene 3. Strategy I.



Figure 3. Retrosynthetic analysis of protected triazene 3. Strategy II.

FULL PAPER

aromatic nuclei to direct the chlorines to their proper orientations during ring closure;^[12] c) utilization of chiral side chains within the triazene moiety to direct the ring closures; and d) use of designed chiral copper ligands to exert influence on the stereoselectivity during the cyclization process.

In order to charter the final plan and to set the stage for the next phase of the synthesis, we proceeded to synthesize the required amino acid building blocks and evaluate the proposed strategies.

Synthesis of amino acid building blocks

Below, the synthesis of the required (according to Figures 2 and 3) vancomycin amino acid building blocks (8-10 and 12-15) will be discussed.

Amino acid building block 8 (AA-7):^[13] Boronic acid derivative **8** required for the construction of the AA-7 amino acid building block was synthesized as summarized in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of amino acid building block **8** (AA-7). a) 1.3 equiv of *n*BuLi, 1.5 equiv of CH₃P+Ph₃Br⁻, THF, -20 °C, 10 h, 91%; b) AD- β , 1.4 gmmol⁻¹, *t*BuOH/H₂O (1:1), 25 °C, 8 h, 96% ee, 92%; c) 1.0 equiv of *n*Bu₂SnO, toluene, reflux, 1 h; then 1.5 equiv of BnBr, 0.5 equiv of *n*Bu₄NI, 70 °C, 2 h, 89%; d) 2.2 equiv of *n*BuLi, benzene, $0 \rightarrow 25$ °C, 2 h; then 3.0 equiv of B(OMe)₃, THF, $-78 \rightarrow 25$ °C, 6 h; 5% aq HCl, 55%. Bn = benzyl.

Thus, commercially available 3,5-dimethoxy benzaldehyde (22) was converted to terminal olefin 23 by a Wittig olefination reaction (91 % yield) and the latter compound (23) was subjected to the Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation reaction^[14] (AD- β) to furnish diol 24 in 96 % *ee* and 92 % yield of isolated product. Monobenzylation of 24 was achieved by the action of *n*Bu₂SnO to afford a tin acetal derivative,^[15] which upon reaction with BnBr in the presence of catalytic amount of *n*Bu₄NI, led to selective protection of the terminal hydroxy group in 25, (89 % yield). Directed lithiation of 25 with 2.2 equivalents of *n*BuLi,^[16] followed by quenching the intermediate dilithio derivative with freshly distilled B(OMe)₃ and aqueous acidic workup, led to the desired boronic acid 8 in 55 % overall yield.

Amino acid building block 9 (AA-5):^[17] The vancomycin AA-5 building block equivalent, compound **9**, was prepared from commercially available (D)-4-hydroxyphenylglycine **26**, as shown in Scheme 2. Thus, mild esterification of **26** in methanol



Scheme 2. Synthesis of amino acid building block **9** (AA-5). a) 2.1 equiv of TMSCl, MeOH, 25 °C, 15 h, 98%; b) 1.1 equiv of Boc₂O, 4.0 equiv of K₂CO₃, dioxane/H₂O (1:1), 25 °C, 4 h, 95%; c) 2.0 equiv of MeI, 4.0 equiv of K₂CO₃, DMF, 25 °C, 6 h, 93%; d) 1.2 equiv of I₂, 2.2 equiv of CF₃COOAg, CHCl₃, 25 °C, 12 h, 90%. TMS = trimethylsilyl; Boc = *tert*-butoxycarbonyl; DMF = dimethylformamide.

proceeded at ambient temperature under the influence of TMSCl^[18] to afford methyl ester **27** in 98% yield. Protection of the amino group in **27** with Boc₂O in the presence of K₂CO₃ furnished derivative **28** (95% yield), which upon treatment with K₂CO₃ and MeI led to fully protected compound **29** in 93% yield. Finally, regioselective iodination of **29** was effected by I₂ in the presence of CF₃COOAg, furnishing the desired amino acid building block **9** in 90% yield.

Amino acid building block 10 (AA-6):^[19] An expedient route to building block 10 (AA-6) took advantage of the recently disclosed Sharpless asymmetric aminohydroxylation reaction,^[20] as shown in Scheme 3. The required starting material for this reaction, cinnamate 32, was obtained from phydroxybenzaldehyde (30) by benzylation (K₂CO₃, BnBr, KI cat., 98% yield), followed by reaction with the anion derived from (EtO)₂P(O)CH₂COOEt (KOH, 95% yield). Substrate 32 entered the Sharpless asymmetric aminohydroxvlation reaction [NaOH, BnOCONH₂, tBuOCl, (DHQD)₂AQN, K₂OsO₂(OH)₄, nPrOH/H₂O (1:1)], affording directly amino alcohol 33 in its Cbz-protected form in 45% yield and 87% ee. The undesired enantiomer was removed later in the sequence upon formation of diastereomers (vide infra). The hydroxy group in 33 was then protected as its TBS ether (TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, 98% yield), leading to compound 34, at which stage both the benzyl and benzyloxycarbonyl groups were removed by hydrogenolysis $[H_2, 20\% Pd(OH)_2/C, 97\% yield]$ to afford amino phenol 35. Finally, introduction of the chlorine atom ortho to the phenol group of 35 was smoothly accomplished through the use of SO₂Cl₂,^[21] furnishing the desired amino acid building block **10** in 80% vield.

Amino acid building block 12 (AA-4):^[22] The central amino acid building block 12 (AA-4) was synthesized from p-aminobenzoic acid (36), as summarized in Scheme 4. The sequence utilized the Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation

2606 —



Scheme 3. Synthesis of amino acid building block **10** (AA-6). a) 1.5 equiv of K₂CO₃, 1.0 equiv of BnBr, 0.1 equiv of KI, DMF, 25 °C, 12 h, 98%; b) 1.1 equiv of (EtO)₂(O)PCH₂COOEt, 1.5 equiv of KOH, THF, 25 °C, 12 h, 95%; c) 3.0 equiv of NaOH, 3.1 equiv of BnOCONH₂, 3.0 equiv of *t*BuOCl, 0.05 equiv of (DHQD)₂AQN, 0.04 equiv of K₂OsO₂(OH)₄, *n*PrOH/H₂O (1:1), 25 °C, 12 h, 87% *ee*, 45%; d) 1.1 equiv of TBSOTf, 1.5 equiv of 2,6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 0.5 h, 98%; e) H₂, 20% Pd(OH)₂/C, MeOH, 25 °C, 0.5 h, 97%; f) 1.0 equiv of SO₂Cl₂, Et₂O/CH₂Cl₂ (1:10), 0 °C, 1 h, 80%. Cbz = benzyloxycarbonyl; TBS = *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl; Tf = trifluoromethanesulfonyl. (DHQD)₂AQN = 1,4-bis(dihydroquinidinyl)anthraquinone.

reaction to introduce the desired chirality, producing the targeted intermediate in its naturally occurring form and high overall yield. Thus, methylation of 36 (SOCl₂, MeOH) gave methyl ester 37 (100% yield), which upon treatment with bromine in acetic acid provided dibromide 38 (98% yield). Reduction of the ester functionality in 38 with LiAlH₄ (95% yield), followed by diazotization (HCl, NaNO₂, AcOH/H₂O) and reaction of the resulting diazonium salt with pyrrolidine, furnished triazene 40 via amino alcohol 39 in 75% overall yield. Oxidation of 40 (PCC, 88% yield), followed by Wittig olefination of the resulting aldehyde 41, furnished styrene derivative 42 (92% yield). Asymmetric dihydroxylation of 42 with AD- α in tBuOH/H₂O (1:1) led to dihydroxy compound 43 in 95% ee and 95% yield. Selective protection of the primary hydroxy group in the latter compound (43) was accomplished with TBSCl in the presence of imidazole, furnishing 44 in 88% yield. The secondary alcohol functionality in the resulting mono-TBS ether 44 was subjected to a Mitsunobu reaction with diphenylphosphoryl azide (DPPA) in the presence of Ph_3P and $DEAD^{[23]}$ to afford azide 45 in 79% yield. Accompanied by complete inversion of configuration of the secondary alcohol, this reaction set the stage for the generation of the desired amino group. This task was accomplished by a mild reduction with $Ph_3P/H_2O^{[24]}$ at 60 °C, furnishing compound 46 (78% yield), which was then protected with Boc₂O in the presence of Et₃N to give



Scheme 4. Synthesis of amino acid building block 12 (AA-4). a) 1.0 equiv of SOCl₂, MeOH, reflux, 2 h, 100%; b) 2.0 equiv of Br₂, AcOH, 25°C, 0.5 h, 98%; c) 1.5 equiv of LiAlH₄, THF, 0°C, 2 h, 95%; d) 5.0 equiv of 6м aq HCl, 1.2 equiv of NaNO2, AcOH/H2O (1:1), 0 °C, 0.5 h; then 30 equiv of KOH, 1.5 equiv of pyrrolidine, 0°C, 0.5 h, 75%; e) 1.5 equiv of PCC, CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 2 h, 88 %; f) 1.4 equiv of *n*BuLi, 1.5 equiv of CH₃P+Ph₃Br-, THF, -20°C, 2 h, 92%; g) AD-a, 1.4 gmmol⁻¹, tBuOH/H₂O (1:1), 25°C, 6 h, 95 % ee, 95 %; h) 1.1 equiv of TBSCl, 1.5 equiv of imidazole, DMF, 0 °C, 5 h, 88 %; i) 2.5 equiv of Ph₃P, 2.5 equiv of DEAD, 2.5 equiv of DPPA, THF, 0°C, 2 h, 79%; j) 3.0 equiv of Ph₃P, 10.0 equiv of H₂O, THF, 60°C, 3 h, 78%; k) 1.1 equiv of Boc₂O, 3.0 equiv of Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 25°C, 4 h, 95%; 1) 1.2 equiv of nBu_4NF , THF, 0°C, 2 h, 93%; m) 1.0 equiv of TEMPO, 3.0 equiv of 5% aq NaOCl, 0.1 equiv of KBr, 5% NaHCO₃/acetone (1:1), 0°C, 1 h, 75%. PCC = pyridinium chlorochromate; DEAD = diethyl azodicaboxylate; DPPA = diphenylphosphoryl azide; TEMPO = 2,2,6,6tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy, free radical.

derivative **47** (95% yield). Finally, fluoride-induced desilylation of **47** (nBu_4NF , 93% yield) followed by oxidation with TEMPO and NaOCl led to the desired amino acid derivative **12** (AA-4) in 75% yield.

Amino acid building block 13 (AA-3): Amino acid building block 13 was prepared from the known asparagine-derived compound 49, as shown in Scheme 5. Thus, asparagine was converted to the corresponding *N*-Cbz compound and thence



Scheme 5. Synthesis of amino acid building block **13** (AA-3). a) 2.0 equiv of MeI, 2.0 equiv of K₂CO₃, DMF, 25 °C, 12 h, 70 %; b) H₂, 20 % Pd(OH)₂/C, MeOH, 25 °C, 1 h, 99 %. Ddm = 4,4'-dimethoxydiphenylmethyl.

to the dimethoxydiphenyl methyl (Ddm) derivative **49** according to Koenig's procedure.^[25] Methylation of **49** (K_2CO_3 , MeI, 70% yield), followed by removal of the Cbz protecting group by hydrogenolysis (H₂, 20% Pd(OH)₂/C, 99% yield), furnished the desired AA-3 building block **13**.

Amino acid building block 14 (AA-2):^[26] The synthesis of amino acid building block 14 (Scheme 6) commenced with ethyl cinnamate 32 (see Scheme 3). The relatively low reactivity of the double bond of this substrate (32) required longer reaction time for the Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation procedure (AD- β) to give diol 51 in 92% *ee* and 79% yield. The latter compound (51) was then converted to mononosylate 52 (68% yield) by the selective action of one equivalent of NosCl^[10e] in the presence of Et₃N. This substrate underwent smooth S_N2 type displacement with NaN₃, furnishing azide 53 (90% yield) with complete inversion of configuration. Finally, reduction of the azide group in 53, accomplished by the action of SnCl₂ · 2H₂O, resulted in the formation of the desired amino acid building block 14 (AA-2) in 90% yield.

Intermediate 14 was further converted to AA-6 derivative 58 as shown in Scheme 6. Thus, exposure of 14 to phosgene allowed the formation of cyclic urethane 54 in 93 % yield, which underwent smooth epimerization exclusively at the α -center to the ester functionality in the presence of ethanolic KOH, leading to compound 56 after methylation (K₂CO₃, MeI, 87% overall yield for two steps) of the resulting carboxylic acid 55. Conversion of 56 to its Boc derivative 57 (Boc₂O, 4-DMAP, 53% yield), followed by selective hydrolysis of the five-membered ring under basic conditions (Cs₂CO₃, MeOH, 80% yield) led to compound 58, demonstrating an alternative route to such structure from the one shown in Scheme 3.

Amino acid building block 15 (AA-1): Amino acid building block 15 (*N*-methyl leucine Boc derivative, see Scheme 7) is commercially available.

Evaluation of strategies

With the requisite amino acid building blocks (8-10 and 12-15) in hand, we were at the crossroads to make a decision as to



Scheme 6. Synthesis of amino acid building block **14** (AA-2) and precursor **58** (for AA-6). a) AD- β , 1.4 gmmol⁻¹, 1.0 equiv of MeSO₂NH₂, *t*BuOH/H₂O (1:1), 25 °C, 12 h, 92 % ee, 79 %; b) 1.0 equiv of NosCl, 2.0 equiv of Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 5 h, 68 %; c) 1.5 equiv of NaN₃, DMF, 55 °C, 12 h, 90 %; d) 2.0 equiv of SnCl₂ · 2H₂O, MeOH, 25 °C, 2 h, 90 %; e) 1.0 equiv of COCl₂, 2.0 equiv of pyr., CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C, 2 h, 93 %; f) 1.05 equiv of KOH, EtOH, reflux, 1 h; g) 1.5 equiv of MeI, 1.0 equiv of K₂CO₃, DMF, 25 °C, 12 h, 87 % from **54**; h) 1.1 equiv of Co₂CO₃, MeOH, 25 °C, 2 h, 80 %. Nos = 4-nitrobenzene sulfonyl; 4-DMAP = 4-dimethylaminopyridine.

which strategy to adopt for their assembly into the desired heptapeptide skeleton of vancomycin. As a prelude for the comparison between these two routes, the AA-1/AA-2/AA-3 tripeptide 17 required for both strategies I (Figure 2) and II (Figure 3) was first prepared from its residues as outlined in Scheme 7. Thus, coupling of amino alcohol 14 with Bocprotected N-methyl leucine 15, facilitated by EDC/HOBt, resulted in the formation of dipeptide 59 (93% yield), which was subsequently converted to its carboxylic acid 60, without significant epimerization, by LiOH in THF/H₂O (1:1) at 0°C (99% yield). Attachment of the third amino acid building block, asparagine derivative 13, was accomplished by EDC/ HOAt induced coupling, leading to tripeptide 61 in 82% yield. Protection of the hydroxy group in 61 (TBSOTf, 2,6lutidine, 81% yield) provided TBS ether 62. Hydrogenolysis of the benzyl group from 62 [H₂, 20 % Pd(OH)₂/C, 99 % yield] liberated phenol 63, which was subjected to chlorination at the ortho position (SO₂Cl₂) to afford chlorophenol methyl



Scheme 7. Synthesis of tripeptide **17**. a) 3.0 equiv of EDC, 3.3 equiv of HOBt, THF, 0 °C, 12 h, 93%; b) 2.0 equiv of LiOH, THF/H₂O (1:1), 0 °C, 1 h, 99%; c) 3.0 equiv of EDC, 3.3 equiv of HOAt, THF, 0 °C, 12 h, 82%; d) 1.3 equiv of TBSOTf, 2.2 equiv of 2,6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 2 h, 81%; e) H₂, 20% Pd(OH)₂/C, MeOH, 25 °C, 1 h, 99%; f) 1.1 equiv of SO₂Cl₂, Et₂O, 0 °C, 75%; g) 4.0 equiv of LiOH, *t*BuOH/H₂O (2:1), 0 °C, 0.5 h, 95%. HOAt = 1-hydroxy-7-azobenzotriazole; HOBt = 1-hydroxybenzotriazole; EDC = 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylamino)propylcarbodiimide hydrochloride.

ester **64** in 75 % yield. Finally, LiOH-induced saponification of **64** in *t*BuOH/H₂O (2:1) at 0 °C furnished tripeptide acid **17** in 95 % yield without significant epimerization.

Having prepared tripeptide carboxylic acid 17, we proceeded to test the feasibility of Strategy I (Figure 2), in which construction of the D-O-E macrocycle was to precede that of the C-O-D ring system. As shown in Scheme 8, central amino acid derivative 46 (see Scheme 4 for its preparation) was desilvlated with nBu_4NF , furnishing amino alcohol 65 (92%) yield), which upon coupling with tripeptide acid 17 in the presence of EDC and HOAt, afforded tetrapeptide 66 in 84 % yield. Cyclization of 66 under the influence of K₂CO₃, CuBr · Me₂S, and pyridine in MeCN at reflux led to a separable mixture of atropisomers 67a and 67b (67a:67b, ca. 3:1) in 87% combined yield. NOE studies (Figure 4) revealed that the major product 67a had the same chlorine orientation as in vancomycin. However, the benefit of this favorable atropselectivity did not compensate for the serious epimerization drawback which was soon to emerge along the sequence. Thus, the natural chlorine atropisomer (67a) was then subjected to TEMPO/NaOCl oxidation, affording the corresponding carboxylic acid (7) in 65% yield. Attempted coupling of 7 with amine $6^{[27]}$ under the influence of EDC



Scheme 8. Synthesis of D-O-E ring system 7 and further elaboration. a) 1.1 equiv of nBu_4NF , THF, 0°C, 2 h, 92%; b) 3.0 equiv of EDC, 3.3 equiv of HOAt, THF, 0°C, 10 h, 84%; c) 3.0 equiv of CuBr·Me₂S, 3.0 equiv of K₂CO₃, 3.0 equiv of pyr., MeCN, reflux, 15 min, **67a:67b** ca. 3:1, 87% combined yield; d) 1.1 equiv of TEMPO, 0.1 equiv of KBr, 5% NaHCO₃/ acetone (1:1), 3.0 equiv of 5% aqueous NaOCl, 0°C, 1 h, 65%; e) 3.0 equiv of EDC, 3.3 equiv of HOAt, THF, -15°C, 5 h, 72%, **5a:5b** ca. 2:1 ratio.

and HOAt led to a mixture of two products in 72 % yield (ca. 2:1 ratio). These products were presumed to be the two epimers **5a** and **5b** at the indicated position (no assignment of stereochemistry was made). Screening of different coupling



Figure 4. Assignment of stereochemistry of atropisomers 67a and 67b by ¹H-¹H NOE studies (COSY, ROSEY, 600 MHz, CD₃COCD₃).

reagents failed to solve this epimerization problem and only made the testing of strategy II (Figure 3) a more urgent priority.

To test strategy II (Figure 3), according to which formation of macrocycle C-O-D was to precede the construction of the D-O-E ring, the simpler *p*-methoxyphenylglycine derivative **68** (Scheme 9) was chosen in place of the AB biaryl amino



Scheme 9. Synthesis of C-O-D model systems **73 a** and **73b**. a) 1.5 equiv of LiOH, THF/H₂O (1:1), 0°C, 0.5 h, 99%; b) 3.0 equiv of EDC, 3.3 equiv of HOBt, DMF, $-20 \rightarrow 0^{\circ}$ C, 12 h, 81%; c) 1.0 equiv of SO₂Cl₂, Et₂O/CH₂Cl₂ (5:1), $-10 \rightarrow 0^{\circ}$ C, 0.5 h, 88%; d) 3.4 equiv of 2,6-lutine, CH₂Cl₂, -10° C, 1 h, 75%; e) 3.0 equiv of EDC, 3.3 equiv of HOAt, THF, -10° C, 2 h, 79%; f) 3.0 equiv of CuBr·Me₂S, 3.0 equiv of K₂CO₃, 3.0 equiv of pyr., MeCN, reflux, 0.5 h, **73a:73b** ca. 1:1, 52% combined yield.

acid building block. Thus, ester 29 (see Scheme 2) was hydrolyzed to carboxylic acid 68 (LiOH, 99% yield), which was then coupled with amine 35 (see Scheme 3) under the influence of EDC and HOBt to give dipeptide 69 in 81 % yield (Scheme 9). The resulting phenol 69 was subjected to chlorination (SO₂Cl₂, 88% yield) to provide monochlorine derivative 70, which upon exposure to excess TMSOTf (3.4 equiv) and 2,6-lutidine (3.0 equiv) followed by aqueous workup, furnished free amine 71 (75% yield). Incorporation of the triazene carboxylic acid 12 (see Scheme 4) into the growing peptide chain was then accomplished by the action of EDC and HOAt, leading to tripeptide 72 (79% yield). Ring closure of the latter compound (72) under the standard cylization conditions (K₂CO₃, CuBr · Me₂S, pyridine, MeCN, reflux) gave the desired C-O-D ring system 73a together with its atropisomer 73b (73a:73b, ca. 1:1, 52% combined yield). The two atropisomers were chromatographically separated and their stereo assignments were determined by NOE studies (see Figure 5).



Figure 5. Assignment of stereochemistry of atropisomers **73a** and **73b** by ¹H-¹H NOE studies (COSY, ROESY, 600 MHz, CD₃COCD₃).

The preparation of the requisite dipeptide 80 is summarized in Scheme 10. Thus, compound 14 was protected as its N-Boc derivative 74 (Boc₂O, Et₃N, 93% yield), which was then hydrolyzed with LiOH at 0 °C to afford carboxylic acid 75 in 96% yield. Coupling of 75 with protected asparagine derivative 13 (EDC, HOBt) provided dipeptide 76 (65% yield), which upon treatment with TBSCl in the presence of imidazole gave fully protected compound 77 (75% yield). Deprotection of the benzyl group was accomplished by hydrogenolysis with 10% Pd/C to furnish phenol 78 (99% yield), which was then subjected to selective monochlorination to afford 79 in 91% yield. Final hydrolysis of the methyl ester functionality of 79 with LiOH provided carboxylic acid 80 (76% yield), which was further elaborated as shown in Scheme 11. Thus, treatment of 73a with TMSOTf and 2,6lutidine generated the free amine (81, 80% yield), which was coupled with dipeptide acid 80 by the action of EDC and HOAt, affording the cyclization precursor 82 in 81% yield. Finally, D-O-E cyclization of phenolic bromotriazene 82 in the presence of K_2CO_3 , CuBr · Me₂S, and pyridine in MeCN at reflux, furnished the anticipated C-O-D/D-O-E framework 83 in 40% yield. However, the chlorine orientation within the



Scheme 10. Synthesis of dipeptide **80**. a) 1.1 equiv of Boc₂O, 1.2 equiv of Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 5 h, 93 %; b) 2.0 equiv of LiOH, THF/H₂O (1:1), 0 °C, 1 h, 96 %; c) 3.0 equiv of EDC, 3.5 equiv of HOBt, THF, $-20 \rightarrow 0$ °C, 4 h, 65 %; d) 4.0 equiv of TBSCl, 8.0 equiv of imidazole, DMF, 25 °C, 24 h, 75 %; e) H₂, 10 % Pd(OH)₂/C, EtOH, 25 °C, 16 h, 99 %; f) 1.1 equiv of SO₂Cl₂, Et₂O/CH₂Cl₂ (5:1), 0 °C, 0.5 h, 91 %; g) 1.5 equiv LiOH, *t*BuOH/H₂O (4:1), 5 \rightarrow 10 °C, 1 h, 76 %.

newly formed ring was of the unnatural configuration with regards to vancomycin (see Figure 6 for crucial NOEs). It appeared that strategy II might also be plagued with serious stereochemical problems of a different kind from those encountered in our explorations of strategy I. Of the two devilish problems, we chose to face the atropisomerism in the hope that the presence of the vancomycin's AB ring system would, perhaps, favor, at least to a satisfying degree, the natural configuration.

Conclusion

In this article, the structure of vancomycin (1) was analyzed retrosynthetically and two alternate strategies were devised (Strategies I and II). The required building blocks for implementation of these strategies were synthesized by efficient routes and two sequences were tested for their feasibility as viable routes to vancomycin (1).

These preliminary studies established strategy II, in which the sequence of formation of vancomycin's macrocycles followed C-O-D \rightarrow C-O-D/AB \rightarrow C-O-D/AB/D-O-E, as the least hazardous, but still risky approach to the target molecule. The road was now chosen for the final launch towards vancomycin (1). The third article in this series^[28]



Scheme 11. Synthesis of C-O-D/D-O-E model system **83**. a) 10.0 equiv of TMSOTf, 16 equiv of 2,6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, -20° C, 1 h, 80%; b) 2.5 equiv of EDC, 11 equiv of HOAt, $-15 \rightarrow 0^{\circ}$ C, 2 h, 81%; c) 5.5 equiv of CuBr-Me₂S, 5.5 equiv of K₂CO₃, 5.5 equiv of pyr., MeCN, reflux, 2 h, 40%.

describes our detailed journey to the aglycon of vancomycin (2), while the fourth paper in the series^[29] provides a full account of the conversion of aglycon 2 to vancomycin (1) itself.

Experimental Section

General techniques: See paper 1 in this series.^[1]

Diol 24: To a solution of olefin **23** (4.26 g, 26 mmol) in *t*BuOH/H₂O (1:1, 130 mL) at 25 °C was added AD-mix- β (36.4 g, 1.4 gmmol⁻¹) and the reaction mixture was stirred at that temperature for 8 h. The reaction was



Figure 6. Assignment of stereochemistry of atropisomers 83 by ¹H-¹H NOE studies (COSY, ROESY, 600 MHz, CD₃CN, 310 K).

quenched by the addition of sodium sulfite (39 g, 1.5 g mmol⁻¹) and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with H₂O (100 mL), brine (100 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (silica gel, 30 →50% EtOAc in hexanes) to provide diol **24** (4.70 g, 96% *ee*, 92%). **24**: R_f =0.12 (50% EtOAc in hexanes); $[a]_{D}^{22} = -25.7$ (c=0.91, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): \bar{v}_{max} =3384, 2939, 2839, 1605, 1459, 1429, 1345, 1295, 1204, 1156, 1064 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.51 (d, J=2.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.38 (t, J=2.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 4.76-4.73 (m, 1H, H-7a), 3.78 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 3.74 (dd, J=11.5, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-7 β), 3.64 (dd, J=11.5, 8.5 Hz, 1H, H-7 β), 2.50 (br. s, 2H, OH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =160.9, 143.0, 103.9, 99.7, 74.7, 68.0, 55.4; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₁₀H₁₄O₄Na [M+Na⁺] 221.0790, found 221.0794.

Benzyl ether 25: A solution of diol 24 (517 mg, 2.6 mmol) in toluene (25 mL) was treated with nBu₂SnO (647 mg, 2.6 mmol). The resulting mixture was heated to reflux for 1 h with removal of H₂O with a Dean-Stark apparatus before it was cooled to 70 °C. To this solution were added BnBr (463 μ L, 3.9 mmol) and *n*Bu₄NI (480 mg, 1.3 mmol) sequentially and the reaction was stirred at 70 °C for 2 h before it was cooled to 25 °C. The organic phase was washed with H_2O (2 × 15 mL), brine (15 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \rightarrow 30\%$ EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) afforded 25 (666 mg, 89%). 25: $R_f = 0.47$ (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -24.6$ (c = 0.98, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3446, 2937, 2854, 1601, 1456, 1426, 1347,$ 1300, 1201, 1149, 1103, 1056 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.36$ -7.34 (m, 5H, ArH), 6.55 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.40 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 4.68 (dd, J = 8.5, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-7 α), 4.60 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, OCHHPh), 4.56 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1 H, OCHHPh), 3.77 (s, 6 H, OCH₃), 3.63 $(dd, J = 10.0, 3.5 Hz, 1 H, H-7\beta), 3.52 (dd, J = 10.0, 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-7\beta), 3.22$ (br. s, 1 H, OH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 161.1$, 142.4, 137.3, 128.5, 127.9, 127.8, 104.0, 99.8, 75.7, 73.4, 72.8, 55.3; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{17}H_{21}O_4 [M + H^+]$ 289.1440, found 289.1448.

Boronic acid 8: A solution of alcohol 25 (950 mg, 3.3 mmol) in benzene (7 mL) was treated with nBuLi (1.6м in hexanes, 4.5 mL, 7.2 mmol) at 0°С dropwise and the resulting bright orange mixture was slowly warmed to 25 °C. After 2 h, the reaction was cooled to $-78\,^\circ\text{C}$ and diluted with THF (15 mL). To this solution, freshly distilled B(OMe)₃ (1.12 mL, 9.9 mmol) was added and the resulting mixture was slowly warmed to $25\,^\circ\mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 6 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 5 % HCl (20 mL) and the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 $\times\,25\,\text{mL}),$ and the combined organic phases were washed with H₂O (30 mL), brine (30 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, $20 \rightarrow 30\%$ EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) afforded **8** (595 mg, 55 %). **8**: $R_f = 0.38$ (silica gel, 50 % EtOAc in hexanes); $[a]_{D}^{22} = -15.1$ (c = 0.85, MeOH); IR (thin film): $\tilde{v}_{max} = 3405$, 2933, 2841, 1605, 1585, 1462, 1421, 1400, 1328, 1201, 1149, 1077, 1075 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.35 - 7.26 (m, 5 H, ArH), 6.47 (s, 1 H, ArH), 6.34 (s, 1H, ArH), 5.28 (dd, J = 6.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-7a), 5.05 (s, 1H, BOH), 4.66 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1 H, OCHHPh), 4.60 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1 H, OCHHPh), 3.85 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.81 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.74 (dd, J = 10.5, 5.0 Hz, 1 H, H-7 β), 3.66 $(dd, J = 10.5, 6.5 Hz, 1 H, H-7\beta)$; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 166.1$, 163.0, 158.5, 138.0, 129.3, 127.8, 127.7, 98.4, 97.6, 80.4, 76.7, 73.6, 73.5, 55.5, 55.3; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{17}H_{20}BO_5$ [$M + H^+$] 315.1404, found 315.1414.

Phenol 28: A solution of 4-hydroxyphenylglycine (26) (16.7 g, 100 mmol) in anhydrous MeOH (500 mL) at 25 °C was treated with chlorotrimethylsilane (26.6 mL, 210 mmol) dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred at that temperature for 15 h before the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc (100 mL), washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (50 mL), brine (50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product 27 (17.7 g, 98%) was taken into next step without further purification. To a solution of crude amino ester 27 (17.7 g) in dioxane/H₂O (1:1, 300 mL) at 25 $^{\circ}$ C was added K₂CO₃ (55.2 g, 400 mmol) and Boc2O (24.0 g, 110 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for 4 h before it was diluted with EtOAc (600 mL). The organic layer was separated, washed with 1 % aqueous HCl (100 mL), brine (100 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \rightarrow 20\%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to provide phenol **28** (26.2 g, 95 %). **28**: $R_f = 0.16$ (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -109.1$ (c = 1.7, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3366, 2978,$ 1766, 1684, 1514, 1443, 1367, 1255, 1226, 1154 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 7.23$ (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.80 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.70 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.29 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, H-5a), 3.78 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 1.51 (s, 9H, *t*BuO); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 172.0, 156.4, 155.1, 128.4, 128.0, 115.8, 80.6, 57.0, 52.7, 28.3; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{14}H_{19}NO_5Na [M + Na^+] 304.1161, 304.1160.$

Methyl ether 29: A solution of phenol 28 (28.1 g, 100 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (200 mL) was treated with K_2CO_3 (55.2 g, 400 mmol) and methyl iodide (12.5 mmol, 200 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 6 h before it was diluted with EtOAc (800 mL). The organic layer was washed with 1% aqueous HCl (300 mL), brine (300 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \rightarrow 15\%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to provide methyl ether 29 (27.4 g, 93%). The product was identical as reported in literature.^[30]

Iodide 9: A solution of methyl ester 29 (1.00 g, 3.4 mmol) in CHCl₃ (50 mL) at 25 °C was treated with CF3COOAg (1.65 g, 7.5 mmol) and I2 (1.04 g, 4.1 mmol) sequentially. The resulting mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 12 h before it was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous Na2SO3 (20 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with $CHCl_3$ (2 $\times\,20$ mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with H₂O (40 mL), brine (40 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, $10 \rightarrow 20\%$ EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) afforded 9 (1.29 g, 90%). 9: $R_f = 0.22$ (silica gel, 20%) EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -99.8$ (c = 1.2, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} =$ 3367, 2979, 1743, 1708, 1481, 1444, 1367, 1250, 1161, 1050, 1014 $\rm cm^{-1};$ ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): $\delta = 7.82$ (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.38 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.3 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.89 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.69 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.22 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5 α), 3.81 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.63 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.35 (s, 9H, *t*BuO); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): $\delta =$ 171.9, 158.7, 155.6, 138.9, 132.0, 129.8, 111.7, 86.1, 79.5, 57.1, 56.7, 52.7, 28.4; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{15}H_{21}INO_5 [M + H^+]$ 422.0465, found 422.0450.

Cinnamate 32: A solution of benzaldehyde 31 (2.30 g, 11.0 mmol) in THF (25 mL) was treated with potassium hydroxide (924 mg, 16.5 mmol), followed by dropwise addition of triethyl phosphonoacetate (2.4 mL, 12 mmol) over a period of 1 h. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously for 12 h at 25 °C and then quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\rm NH_4Cl$ (10 mL). The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 15 mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with H₂O (25 mL), saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (25 mL), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting residue was recrystalized twice from MeOH to afford cinnamate 32 (2.90 g, 95%). 32: $R_f = 0.53$ (silica gel, 25 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR (thin film): $\tilde{v}_{max} = 2969, 2910, 2859,$ 1714, 1634, 1604, 1511, 1454, 1285, 1262, 1174, 1012, 983, 832, 816, 748, 697, 524 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.69 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1 H, CH = CHCO₂Et), 7.48-7.32 (m, 7H, ArH), 6.97 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.31 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1 H, CH = CHCO₂Et), 5.08 (s, 2 H, OCH₂Ph), 4.25 (q, J =7.1 Hz, 2H, CH_2CH_3), 1.24 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, CH_2CH_3); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 167.3, 160.5, 144.2, 136.5, 129.7, 128.6, 128.1, 127.4,$ 115.9, 115.2, 70.0, 60.3, 14.4; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₁₈H₁₈O₃Na [M+ Na+] 283.1334, found 283.1326.

Amino acid 33: Amino acid 33 was prepared from cinnamate 32 according to Sharpless AA protocol^[20] (45% yield, 87% ee).

TBS ether 34: To a solution of alcohol 33 (500 mg, 1.11 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at 0 °C were added 2,6-lutidine (194 µL, 1.67 mmol) and tertbutyldimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (284 µL, 1.22 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 0°C for 0.5 h and then quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (2 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 5 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed sequentially with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (10 mL), H₂O (10 mL), brine (10 mL), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $0 \rightarrow 20$ % EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) to afford TBS ether 34 (613 mg, 98 %). 34: $R_f = 0.34$ (silica gel, 20 % EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{22} =$ -31.7 (c = 0.87, EtOAc); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 2930, 1728, 1610, 1509, 1299,$ 1251, 1085, 836 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.45 - 7.21$ (m, 12 H, ArH), 6.89 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 5.50 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.24 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H, H-6 β), 5.02 (s, 2 H, NHCO₂CH₂), 4.97 (s, 2 H, OCH₂Ph), 4.41 (dd, J = 9.7, 2.2 Hz, 1 H, H-6 α), 4.23 (dq, J = 10.8, 7.3 Hz, 1 H, CHHCH₃), 4.14 (dq, J=10.8, 7.3 Hz, 1H, CHHCH₃), 1.28 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 3H, CH₂CH₃), 0.84 (s, 9H, tBuSi), -0.04 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.18 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 170.1, 158.2, 155.9, 136.7, 136.2, 132.6, 128.3, 128.2, 127.8, 127.8, 127.7, 127.3, 127.2, 114.1, 74.1, 69.7, 66.5, 61.3, 61.0, 25.5, 17.8, 13.9, -4.8, -5.7; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₃₂H₄₁NO₆SiCs $[M + Cs^+]$ 696.1757, found 696.1782.

Phenol 35: To a solution of protected amine 34 (430 mg, 0.76 mmol) in MeOH (8 mL) was added 20 % Pd(OH)₂/C (25 mg) at 25 °C. Hydrogen was bubbled through the solution for 0.5 h and the resulting suspension was filtered through a pad of celite. The celite was washed with MeOH (2 \times 5 mL) and the filtrate was concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to afford phenol 35 (250 mg, 97%). 35: $R_f = 0.23$ (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -17.9 \ (c = 0.98, \text{EtOAc})$; IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3362, 2936$, 1738, 1614, 1515, 1255, 1080, 837 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta =$ 7.16 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.76 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.00 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6 β), 4.15 (dq, J = 10.8, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, CHHCH₃), 4.06 (dq, J =10.8, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, CHHCH₃), 3.47 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6 α), 1.21 (t, J =7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 0.89 (s, 9 H, tBuSi), 0.01 (s, 3 H, CH₃Si), -0.18 (s, 3 H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 173.5$, 158.4, 132.8, 128.8, 116.1, 77.1, 62.9, 62.3, 26.3, 19.0, 14.4, -4.3, -5.2; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{17}H_{30}NO_4Si [M + H^+] 340.1944$, found 340.1948.

Chloride 10: To a solution of amine 35 (1.63 g, 4.8 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂/ether (10:1, 5 mL) at 0°C was added sulfuryl chloride (386 µL, 4.8 mmol) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at that temperature for 1 h and then it was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (5 mL). The resulting mixture was diluted with EtOAc (15 mL) and the organic layer was washed with H₂O (10 mL), brine (10 mL), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \rightarrow 20$ % EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) to afford chloride 10 (1.44 g, 80 %). 10: $R_f = 0.24$ (silica gel, 5% MeOH in CHCl₃); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -11.0$ (c = 1.31, EtOAc); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3366, 2943, 2849, 2555, 1737, 1602, 1502, 1467, 1290, 1255,$ 1079 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 7.29$ (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1 H, H-6b), 7.09 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.1 Hz, 1 H, H-6f), 6.88 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H, H-6e), 4.99 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1 H, H-6 β), 4.16 (dq, J = 10.8, 7.2 Hz, 1 H, CHHCH₃), 4.08 (dq, J = 10.8, 7.2 Hz, 1 H, CHHCH₃), 3.47 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1 H, H-6 α), 1.22 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 0.90 (s, 9 H, tBuSi), 0.03 (s, 3 H, CH₃Si), -0.15 (s, 3 H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 173.8$, 154.1, 134.5, 129.3, 127.1, 121.5, 117.4, 76.6, 62.8, 62.3, 26.3, 19.0, 14.4, -4.4, -5.1; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{17}H_{29}CINO_4Si [M + H^+] 374.1554$, found 374.1549.

Benzyl alcohol 39: A cooled suspension of LiAlH₄ (1.14 g, 30 mmol) at 0 °C in THF (40 mL) was treated dropwise with a solution of ester **38** ^[31] (6.18 g, 20 mmol) in THF (60 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h before it was quenched by the slow addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (15 mL). The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 200 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with H₂O (150 mL), brine (150 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, 10 → 20 % EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) afforded **39** (5.34 g, 95 %). **39**: R_f =0.28 (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR (thin film): \tilde{v}_{max} = 3307, 1614, 1578, 1472, 1402, 1349, 1284, 1198, 1067, 1026 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.40 (s, 2H, ArH), 4.53 (s, 2H, *CH*₂OH), 1.90–1.50 (br.s, 2H, NH₂); ¹³C NMR

(125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 141.4, 132.3, 130.8, 108.7, 63.9; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_7H_7Br_2NO$ [M^+] 280.9464, found 280.9471.

Triazene alcohol 40: To a suspension of aniline **39** (10.0 g, 35.6 mmol) in acetic acid/H₂O (1:1, 80 mL) at 0 °C were added sequentially 6 м aqueous HCl (30 mL) and NaNO₂ (2.95 g, 42.7 mmol in 5 mL of H₂O). The resulting mixture was stirred at that temperature for 0.5 h before it was transferred dropwise to a flask charged with KOH (60 g, 1.07 mol) and pyrrolidine (4.4 mL, 53 mmol) in H₂O (250 mL) at 0 °C. The resulting precipitate was filtered and purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 10 \rightarrow 20 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR (thin film): \tilde{v}_{max} = 3331, 2872, 1537, 1408, 1343, 1308, 1214, 1190, 1049 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.47 (s, 2H, ArH), 4.59 (s, 2H, CH₂OH), 3.96 (s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.72 (s, 2H, NCH₂), 2.11 (s, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 2.06 (s, 2H, NCH₂CH₂); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 146.6, 140.2, 130.2, 117.8, 63.5, 51.3, 46.7, 23.9, 23.7; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₁₁H₁₄Br₂N₃O [*M* + H⁺] 361.9504, found 361.9515.

Benzaldehyde 41: A solution of alcohol **40** (3.61 g, 10 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) was treated with pyridium chlorochromate (PCC, 3.24 g, 15 mmol) at 25 °C. The suspension was stirred for 2 h before it was filtered through a pad of celite. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5 \rightarrow 10% EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) to afford **41** (3.18 g, 88%). **41**: R_f =0.32 (silica gel, 10% EtOAc in hexanes); IR (thin film): \tilde{v}_{max} = 2962, 1691, 1580, 1534, 1408, 1342, 1302, 1250, 1226, 1200 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.84 (s, 1H, CHO), 8.04 (s, 2H, ArH), 3.99 (s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.74 (s, 2H, NCH₂), 2.14–2.09 (m, 4H, NCH₂CH₂); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 188.9, 152.8, 134.1, 133.6, 118.5, 51.4, 46.9, 24.0, 23.5; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₁₁H₁₂Br₂N₃O [*M*+H⁺] 361.9327, found 361.9336.

Styrene 42: A suspension of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (6.43 g, 18.0 mmol) in THF (30 mL) at -20° C was treated with *n*BuLi (1.6 M solution in hexanes, 10.5 mL, 16.8 mmol) dropwise over 10 min and the resulting solution was stirred for 0.5 h. To this reaction mixture was added a solution of 41 (4.33 g, 12.0 mmol) in THF (25 mL) and the resulting orange suspension was stirred at that temperature for 2 h before it was quenched by the addition of H_2O (30 mL). The mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 40 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic phases were washed with H₂O (2 × 40 mL), brine $(2 \times 40 \text{ mL})$, dried (Na_2SO_4) , and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, $4 \rightarrow 8\%$ ether in hexanes, gradient elution) afforded 42 (3.96 g, 92%). 42: $R_f = 0.39$ (silica gel, 10% ether in hexanes); IR (thin film): $\tilde{v}_{max} = 2972, 2801, 1524, 1413,$ 1337, 1312, 1256, 1220 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.55$ (s, 2 H, ArH), 6.54 (dd, J=17.5, 10.8 Hz, 1H, ArCH), 5.69 (d, J=17.5 Hz, 1H, ArCH = CHH), 5.26 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H, ArCH = CHH), 3.95 (s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.70 (s, 2 H, NCH₂), 2.06 (s, 4 H, NCH₂CH₂); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 147.2, 136.4, 134.1, 130.0, 117.6, 115.2, 51.6, 46.9, 23.8, 23.4;$ HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{12}H_{14}Br_2N_3$ [$M + H^+$] 357.9554, found 357.9564.

Diol 43: To a stirred suspension of AD- α (14 g, 1.4 gmmol⁻¹) in *t*BuOH/ H_2O (1:1, 100 mL) was added styrene 42 (3.59 g, 10 mmol) at 25 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 6 h before sodium sulfite (15.0 g, 1.5 gmmol⁻¹) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 0.5 h and then it was extracted with EtOAc (3×40 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with H2O (50 mL), brine (50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, 40→60% EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) afforded 43 (3.73 g, 95% ee, 95%). Chiral HPLC: chiralcell OD-H column (0.46 \times 25 cm, 30% 2-propanol/hexane, 0.5 mL min⁻¹). Retention time = 10.22(major enantiomer). Retention time = 9.23 (minor enantiomer). 43: R_{f} = 0.16 (silica gel, 50 % EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +79.4$ (c = 1.21, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3354, 2954, 2862, 2349, 1415, 1308, 1215, 1067 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.47 (s, 2 H, ArH), 4.72 (dd, J = 8.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H, ArCH), 3.95 (s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.72 (s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.65 (dd, J=11.5, 3.5 Hz, 1 H, CHHOH), 3.55 (dd, J = 11.5, 8.0 Hz, 1 H, CHHOH), 3.49 (br. s, 1 H, OH), 2.12 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2 H, NCH₂CH₂), 2.06 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2 H, NCH₂CH₂); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 146.8$, 140.2, 129.9, 118.0, 73.0, 67.8, 51.5, 46.8, 23.9, 23.7; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₁₂H₁₆Br₂N₃O₂ [*M*+H⁺] 391.9609, found 391.9623.

TBS ether 44: To a solution of diol **43** (3.36 g, 8.6 mmol) in DMF (40 mL) at 0 °C were added sequentially TBSCI (1.43 g, 9.5 mmol) and imidazole (8.77 g, 12.9 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at that temperature for 5 h before H_2O (60 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was extracted

with EtOAc (4 × 50 mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with H₂O (80 mL), brine (80 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \rightarrow 10$ % EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) afforded compound **44** (3.84 g, 88%). **44**: R_f = 0.40 (silica gel, 20% EtOAc in hexanes); $[a]_{12}^{25}$ = +22.8 (c = 1.43, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): \tilde{v}_{max} = 3384, 2954, 2928, 2856, 1419, 1358, 1316, 1256, 1113 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.53 (s, 2H, ArH), 4.66 - 4.64 (m, 1H, ArCH), 3.95 (s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.74 - 3.70 (m, 3H, NCH₂ and CHHOSi), 3.49 (dd, J = 10.0, 8.5 Hz, 1H, CHHOSi), 3.06 (br. s, 1H, OH), 2.09 (s, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 2.06 (s, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 0.91 (s, 9H, *t*BuSi), 0.06 (s, 6H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 147.3, 139.4, 130.1, 117.6, 72.8, 68.4, 51.2, 46.6, 25.8, 24.0, 23.6, 18.3, -5.4; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₁₈H₃₀Br₂N₃O₂Si [M + H⁺] 506.0475, found 506.0496.

Azide 45: To a solution of alcohol 44 (610 mg, 1.2 mmol) in THF (1.0 mL) at 0°C were added sequentially triphenylphosphane (790 mg, 3.0 mmol), diethyl azodicarboxylate (DEAD, 470 µL, 3.0 mmol), and diphenylphosphoryl azide (DPPA, 650 µL, 3.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0°C for 2 h and then it was concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, $5 \rightarrow 10\%$ ether in hexanes, gradient elution) afforded azide 45 (0.50 g, 79 %). 45: $R_f = 0.39$ (silica gel, 10% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -43.8$ (c = 1.30, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{\text{max}} = 2953, 2929, 2857, 2100, 1418, 1317, 1257, 1112 \text{ cm}^{-1}; ^{1}\text{H} \text{ NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.48$ (s, 2H, ArH), 4.50 (dd, J = 7.6, 4.4 Hz, 1H, ArCH), 3.96 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.79 (dd, J = 10.2, 4.4 Hz, 1H, CHHOSi), 3.74-3.69 (m, 3 H, NCH₂ and CHHOSi), 2.06 (br.s, 4 H, NCH₂CH₂), 0.90 (s, 9H, tBuSi), 0.07 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), 0.06 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 147.9, 135.7, 131.0, 117.8, 67.8, 65.5, 51.2, 46.6, 25.8, 24.0, 23.6, 25.8, 24.0, 23.6, 25.8, 24.0, 23.6, 25.8, 24.0, 23.6, 25.8, 24.0, 23.6, 25.8, 24.0, 23.6, 25.8, 24.0, 25.8, 24.0, 25.8, 24.0, 25.8, 24.0, 25.8, 24.0, 25.8, 24.0, 25.8, 24.0, 25.8, 25.8, 24.0, 25.8, 25.$ 18.2, -5.5; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₁₈H₂₉Br₂N₆OSi [$M + H^+$] 531.0540, found 531.0562.

Amine 46: To a solution of azide **45** (1.17 g, 2.2 mmol) in THF was added triphenylphosphane (1.73 g, 6.6 mmol) and H₂O (400 µL, 22 mmol) at 25 °C. The resulting solution was heated to 60 °C for 3 h before it was cooled to 25 °C. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \rightarrow 45$ % EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) to afford amine **46** (870 mg, 78 %). **46**: $R_f = 0.30$ (silica gel, 50 % EtOAc in hexanes); $[a]_{12}^{22} = -22.0$ (c = 1.53, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\hat{r}_{max} = 2952$, 2928, 2856, 1537, 1466, 1418, 1337, 1316, 1256, 1107 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.55$ (s, 2H, ArH), 3.98 (dd, J = 7.5, 4.0 Hz, 1H, ArCH), 3.94 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.71 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.66 (dd, J = 9.5, 4.0 Hz, 1H, CHHOSi), 3.46 (dd, J = 9.5, 7.5 Hz, 1H, CHHOSi), 0.02 (s, 6H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 147.0$, 141.8, 130.8, 117.5, 68.9, 56.3, 51.1, 46.5, 25.8, 24.0, 23.6, 18.2, -5.4; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₁₈H₃₁Br₂N₄OSi [$M + H^+$] 505.0634, found 505.0649.

Boc-protected amine 47: To a solution of amine 46 (710 mg, 1.4 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (10 mL) at 25 °C were added Et3N (585 µL, 4.2 mmol) and Boc2O (336 mg, 1.5 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 4 h before it was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 15→25% EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) to afford Boc derivative 47 (810 mg, 95%). 47: $R_f = 0.50$ (silica gel, 50%) EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -40.2$ (c = 1.70, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} =$ 3449, 3332, 2953, 2930, 2858, 1715, 1490, 1418, 1365, 1336, 1256, 1188, 1116 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.47$ (s, 2H, ArH), 5.26 (br.s, 1 H, NH), 4.61 (br.s, 1 H, CHN), 3.94 (br.s, 2 H, NCH₂), 3.84 (dd, J=10.0, 4.0 Hz, 1 H, CHHOSi), 3.72-3.62 (m, 3 H, NCH2 and CHHOSi), 2.09 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 2.07 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.42 (s, 9H, tBuO), 0.85 (s, 9H, *t*BuSi), -0.03 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.05 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 155.1, 147.0, 146.7, 130.9, 117.5, 85.1, 66.0, 51.1, 46.5, 28.3, 27.4,$ 25.8, 24.0, 23.6, 18.2, -5.6, -5.7; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₂₃H₃₉Br₂N₄O₃Si $[M + H^+]$ 605.1158, found 605.1135.

Alcohol 48: To a solution of compound 47 (665 mg, 1.1 mmol) in THF (12 mL) at 0°C was added *n*Bu₄NF (1.0M solution in THF, 1.3 mL, 1.3 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at that temperature for 2 h before it was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (10 mL). The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (4 × 15 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with H₂O (20 mL), brine (20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 30 →40% EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) to afford alcohol 48 (500 mg, 93%). 48: R_f =0.36 (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in hexanes); $[a]_{D}^{22}$ = -48.9 (*c* = 1.28, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$ = 3419, 2976, 2875, 1695, 1536, 1502, 1417, 1365, 1314, 1256, 1165,

1056 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.46 (s, 2 H, ArH), 5.39 (br.s, 1 H, NH), 4.59 (br.s, 1 H, CHN), 3.93 (br.s, 2 H, NCH₂), 3.75 – 3.69 (m, 4 H, NCH₂ and CH₂O), 2.74 (br.s, 1 H, OH), 2.08 (br.s, 2 H, NCH₂CH₂), 2.04 (br.s, 2 H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.42 (s, 9 H, *t*BuO); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 155.7, 147.2, 138.9, 130.6, 117.9, 80.1, 65.8, 55.3, 51.2, 46.6, 28.3, 23.9, 23.6; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₁₇H₂₄Br₂N₄O₃Cs [*M* + Cs⁺] 622.9269, found 622.9294.

Acid 12: To a solution of alcohol 48 (246 mg, 0.50 mmol) in acetone (2.5 mL) at 0 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ was added 5 % aqueous NaHCO₃ (2.5 mL). The resulting suspension was stirred vigorously before the addition of KBr (1.2 mg, 0.05 mmol) and TEMPO (78 mg, 0.50 mmol). Sodium hypochlorite (5% aqueous solution, 2.0 mL) was added dropwise over 0.5 h and the resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h before the addition of H2O (15 mL) and EtOAc (15 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 15 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with H₂O (20 mL), brine (20 mL), dried (Na2SO4), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, $5 \rightarrow 10\%$ MeOH in CHCl₃, gradient elution) afforded acid **12** (386 mg, 75%). **12**: $R_f = 0.18$ (silica gel, 10% MeOH in CHCl₃); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -97.4$ (c = 0.98, MeOH); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{\text{max}} = 3344, 2976, 2872, 1711, 1415, 1367, 1313, 1224, 1162, 1052,$ 1020 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 7.53$ (s, 2 H, ArH), 4.89 (br. s, 1 H, ArCH), 3.81 (br. s, 2 H, NCH2), 3.54 (br. s, 2 H, NCH2), 1.99 (br. s, 2 H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.95 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.33 (s, 9H, tBuO); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 157.2$, 148.7, 139.7, 132.2, 118.7, 112.0, 80.8, 52.3, 47.9, 28.7, 28.6, 24.9, 24.6; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{17}H_{22}Br_2N_4O_4Cs$ [M+ Cs+] 636.9062, found 636.9087.

Amine 13: To a suspension of compound **50** (4.17 g, 8.25 mmol) in MeOH (120 mL) at 25 °C was added 20% Pd(OH)₂/C (100 mg). Hydrogen was bubbled through the reaction mixture for 1 h. The resulting mixture was filtered through a pad of celite and the celite was washed thoroughly with MeOH (2 × 30 mL). The combined filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give crude amine **13** (3.06 g, 99%). **13**: R_f =0.45 (silica gel, 5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂); $[a]_{D}^{12} = -7.50$ (c = 0.30, MeOH); IR (thin film): $\bar{\nu}_{max}$ = 3296, 2862, 1738, 1650, 1610, 1538, 1513, 1247, 1176, 1032 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.73 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, NH), 7.13 – 7.10 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.81 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, ArH), 6.11 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, CHAr₂), 3.81 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, CHCOOMe), 3.75 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 3.67 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.71 (dd, J = 15.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H, CHHCHCOOMe); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 174.4, 169.1, 158.6, 134.0, 128.3, 113.9, 55.6, 55.2, 52.4, 51.3, 39.3; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₂₀H₂₄N₂O₅ [M + H⁺] 373.1763, found 373.1770.

Ester 50: A solution of carboxylic acid 49 (24.6 g, 50 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (200 mL) at 25 $^\circ \rm C$ was treated with $K_2\rm CO_3$ (13.8 g, 100 mmol) and methyl iodide (6.23 mL, 100 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at that temperature for 12 h before it was diluted with EtOAc (800 mL). The mixture was washed with 1% aqueous HCl (400 mL), brine (400 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20\!\rightarrow\!\!40\,\%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to provide ester 50 (17.7 g, 70%). 50: $R_f = 0.23$ (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +19.3$ (c = 1.2, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3301, 1742$, 1697, 1643, 1538, 1522, 1454, 1247, 1176, 1062 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 7.33 - 7.30$ (m, 5H, ArH), 7.08 - 7.00 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.83 - 6.79 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.19 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, CHNHCO), 6.06 (m, 2H, NH), 5.08 (s, 2H, OCH₂Ph), 4.57-4.55 (m, 1H, H-3a), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.69 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.86 (dd, J = 19.5, 9.0 Hz, 1H, H-3β), 2.76 (dd, J = 19.5, 9.0 Hz, 1 H, H-3 β); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 171.7$, 168.7, 158.8, 156.1, 136.2, 133.4, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 127.8, 66.8, 56.0, 55.2, 52.7, 50.8, 27.8; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{28}H_{30}N_2O_7Na [M + Na^+]$ 529.1951, found 529.1941.

Diol 51: To a solution of cinnamate **32** (5.0 g, 28.3 mmol) in *t*BuOH/H₂O (1:1, 180 mL) at 25 °C were added AD- β (39.6 g, 1.4 gmmol⁻¹) and methanesulfonamide (2.69 g, 28.3 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h before sodium sulfite (42.5 g, 1.5 gmmol⁻¹) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h and then it was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (100 mL), brine (100 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 50 % EtOAc in hexanes) to give diol **51** (7.07 g, 79 %, 92 % *ee*). *R_f*= 0.52 (silica gel, 50 % EtOAc in hexanes); [α]²⁵_D = -31.3 (*c* = 1.15, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): \tilde{r}_{max} = 3318, 1870, 1715, 1616, 1515, 1383, 1301, 1249, 1177; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.41 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 7.39-7.36

(m, 2 H, ArH), 7.34–7.30 (m, 3 H, ArH), 6.96 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 5.05 (s, 2 H, CH₂Ph), 4.92 (br.s, 1 H, CHArOH), 4.30 (br.s, 1 H, CHCOOEt), 4.23 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, OCH₂CH₃), 3.17 (br.s, 1 H, OH), 2.77 (br.s, 1 H, OH), 1.24 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 172.7$, 158.5, 136.8, 132.3, 128.5, 127.9, 127.6, 127.4, 114.7, 74.6, 74.2, 69.9, 63.1, 14.1; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₁₈H₂₀O₅Na [M + Na⁺] 339.1208, found 339.1204.

Nosylate 52: To a solution of diol 51 (18.0 g, 57.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (285 mL) at 0°C were added 4-nitrobenzene sulfonyl chloride (14.0 g, 56.9 mmol) and triethylamine (15.9 mL, 113.9 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h before it was quenched by the addition of saturated NH₄Cl (50 mL). The organic layer was washed with 1N HCl (100 mL), H₂O (100 mL), brine (100 mL), dried (Na_2SO_4) , and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the resulting residue (silica gel, $20\!\rightarrow\!\!30\,\%$ EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) provided compound 52 (1.08 g, 68 %). 52: $R_f =$ 0.25 (silica gel, 30% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = +43.0$ (c = 1.55, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3546$, 1761, 1609, 1531, 1511, 1374, 1349, 1187, 1027 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.21$ (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.83 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.43 - 7.34 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.32 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.12 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.79 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.13 (s, 1H, CHCOOEt), 4.98 (s, 2H, CH₂Ph), 4.94 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H, CHOH), 4.14 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, OCH₂CH₃), 2.63 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H, OH), 1.16 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 166.4$, 158.9, 150.4, 141.4, 136.4, 129.5, 129.1, 128.6, 128.1, 127.5, 127.4, 124.0, 114.7, 82.4, 73.1, 69.9, 62.5, 13.8; HRMS (FAB) calcd $C_{24}H_{23}NO_9SCs$ [$M + Cs^+$] 634.0148, found 634.1035.

Azide 53: To a solution of nosylate 52 (14.0 g, 27.9 mmol) in DMF (110 mL) at 25 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ was added sodium azide (2.73 g, 41.9 mmol). The resulting mixture was then heated to 55 °C and stirred for 12 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with H₂O (200 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3×200 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with H₂O (250 mL), brine (250 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5-15% EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) to give azide 53 (8.56 g, 90%). 53: $R_f = 0.32$ (silica gel, 20% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = +3.09$ (c = 1.26, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{\text{max}} = 3478, 2111, 1736, 1610, 1512, 1244, 1174, 1024 \text{ cm}^{-1}; ^{1}\text{H} \text{ NMR}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.42 - 7.37$ (m, 5H, ArH), 7.31 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.97 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.06 (s, 2H, CH₂Ph), 4.96 (d, J= 7.0 Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 4.24 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, OCH₂CH₃), 4.08 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, CHCOOEt), 2.78 (br.s, 1H, OH), 1.27 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 168.0$, 159.9, 137.0, 132.0, 128.6, 128.0, 127.9, 127.4, 114.9, 73.7, 70.0, 66.8, 62.2, 14.1; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{18}H_{19}N_3O_4Na [M + Na^+]$ 364.1273, found 364.1266.

Amine 14: To a solution of azide 53 (6.0 g, 17.6 mmol) in MeOH (88 mL) at 25 °C was added tin(II) chloride dihydrate (7.92 g, 35.2 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h before it was concentrated. The residue was taken up in EtOAc (50 mL) and washed with 3N aqueous NaOH (3×50 mL), H₂O (50 mL), brine (50 mL), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $1 \rightarrow 3\%$ MeOH in CHCl₃) to give amine 14 (5.91 g, 90%). 14: R_f=0.42 (silica gel, 5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -2.0 \ (c = 1.14, \text{CHCl}_{3}); \text{ IR (thin film): } \tilde{\nu}_{\text{max}} = 3367, 3048, 1731, 1610,$ 1592, 1511, 1454, 1241, 1025 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.41 - 100$ 7.35 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.31 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.20 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.92 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.03 (s, 2H, CH₂Ph), 4.88 (d, J =5.5 Hz, 1 H, H-2β), 4.14-4.08 (m, 2 H, OCH₂CH₃), 3.74 (d, J=5.0 Hz, 1 H, CHCOOEt), 2.30 (br.s, 1H, OH), 1.20 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 173.1$, 158.5, 136.8, 132.1, 128.5, 127.9, 127.6, 127.4, 114.7, 73.9, 69.9, 61.1, 59.9, 14.1; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{18}H_{21}NO_4Na [M + Na^+]$ 316.1549, found 316.1559.

Urethane 54: To a solution of hydroxy amine **14** (2.80 g, 8.8 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (90 mL) at -78 °C was added pyridine (1.43 mL, 17.7 mmol) and phosgene (1.93 M in toluene, 4.6 mL, 8.8 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at that temperature for 2 h and then quenched by the slow addition of H₂O (40 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 50 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (100 mL), brine (100 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 30 →50 % EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) to give **54** (2.80 g, 93 %). **54**: *R*_f = 0.18 (silica gel, 50 % EtOAc in hexanes); [*a*]^{2D}_D = -60.1 (*c* = 0.83, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\vec{v}_{max} = 3299, 1773, 1751, 1731, 1515, 1255, 1204$,

1022 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): δ = 7.45 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 7.37 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 7.31 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.28 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 7.02 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 5.87 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, H-2 β), 5.14 (s, 2 H, CH₂Ph), 4.71 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, CHCOOEt), 3.73-3.67 (m, 1 H, OCHHCH₃), 3.58-3.51 (m, 1 H, OCHHCH₃), 0.76 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, OCH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): δ = 169.8, 159.9, 158.7, 137.9, 129.0, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 128.0, 115.1, 79.0, 70.1, 61.3, 60.3, 13.6; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₁₉H₁₉NO₅Cs [*M* + Cs⁺] 474.0318, found 474.0311. **Methyl ester 56**: To a solution of compound **54** (4.0 g, 11.7 mmol) in EtOH (13.8 mL) at 25 °C was added potassium hydroxide (689 mg, 12.2 mmol).

The resulting mixture was heated to reflux for 1 h before it was cooled to 25°C. The reaction mixture was acidified with 10% HCl (5 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3×20 mL). The combined extracts were washed with H₂O (30 mL), brine (30 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude carboxylic acid 55 was dissolved in DMF (39 mL) at 25 °C. To this solution were added methyl iodide (1.1 mL, 17.6 mmol) and potassium carbonate (1.62 g, 11.7 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for 12 h before it was diluted with H₂O (40 mL). The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3×60 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (100 mL), brine (100 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \rightarrow 40\%$ EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) of the residue gave pure methyl ester 56 (3.3 g, 87% overall yield for two steps). 56: $R_f = 0.30$ (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = +40.6 (c = 0.60, \text{CHCl}_3)$; IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{\text{max}} = 3285, 1764$, 1611, 1513, 1382, 1224, 1007 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.45$ -7.35 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.33 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.00 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.79 (br.s, 1H, NH), 5.59 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-2 β), 5.07 (s, 2H, CH₂Ph), 4.27 (d, J=5.0 Hz, 1H, CHCOOMe), 3.85 (s, 3H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 170.0$, 159.4, 136.5, 130.1, 128.6, 128.0, 127.4, 127.3, 127.0, 115.2, 79.3, 70.0, 61.2, 53.1; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{18}H_{17}NO_5Na [M + Na^+]$ 350.1004, found 350.1012.

N-Boc carbamate 57: To a solution of ester **56** (3.0 g, 9.2 mmol) in THF (18.4 mL) at 25 °C was added di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate (2.2 g, 10.1 mmol) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (169 mg, 1.38 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 2 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 10 →20% EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) to give compound **57** (2.1 g, 53%). **57**: R_f =0.30 (silica gel, 25% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{12}$ = +58.0 (*c* = 1.66, CHCl₃) IR (thin film): \tilde{v}_{max} = 1831, 1739, 1727, 1612, 1514, 1324, 1249, 1077 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.42 − 7.36 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.33 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.28 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.00 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.30 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H, H-2 β), 5.06 (s, 2H, CH₂Ph), 4.62 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H, CHCOOMe), 3.85 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 1.49 (s, 9H, *t*BuO); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 169.0, 159.6, 150.7, 148.4, 136.4, 129.0, 128.6, 128.1, 127.4, 126.7, 115.4, 84.8, 75.9, 70.1, 63.7, 53.2, 27.8; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₂₃H₂₅NO₇Na [*M* + Na⁺] 450.1529, found 450.1537.

Alcohol 58: To a solution of carbamate 57 (2.0 g, 4.7 mmol) in MeOH (70 mL) at $0\,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ was added cesium carbonate (611 mg, 1.88 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at that temperature for 2 h and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in EtOAc (50 mL) and washed with H₂O (30 mL), brine (30 mL), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed in vacuo and flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \rightarrow 40\%$ EtOAc in hexanes) provided alcohol 58 (1.5 g, 80%). 58: $R_f = 0.43$ (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -11.0$ (c = 0.30, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3420, 2941, 1717, 1616, 1511, 1367, 1243, 1172,$ 1025 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.41 - 7.31$ (m, 5H, ArH), 7.31 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 6.94 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 5.29 (d, J = 7.0 Hz)1 H, H-2 β), 5.15 (br.s, 1 H, NH), 5.04 (s, 2 H, CH₂Ph), 4.48 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, CHCOOMe), 3.73 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 1.34 (s, 9H, tBuO); ¹³C NMR $(125 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 171.1, 170.5, 158.6, 136.8, 132.0, 128.6, 127.9, 127.4,$ 127.2, 127.1, 73.6, 69.9, 59.3, 52.5, 29.6, 28.1; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{22}H_{27}NO_6Na [M + Na^+]$ 424.1736, found 424.1746.

Dipeptide 59: To a solution of amine **14** (615 mg, 2.1 mmol) and acid **15** (523 mg, 2.1 mmol) in THF (22 mL) at 0 °C were added HOBt (956 mg, 7.0 mmol) and EDC (1.22 g, 6.4 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at that temperature for 12 h before it was diluted with EtOAc (100 mL). The resulting mixture was washed with 5% aqueous HCl (3 × 30 mL), 5% aqueous NaHCO₃ (40 mL), H₂O (40 mL), brine (40 mL) and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20 →40% EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) to provide dipeptide **59** (1.08 g, 93%). **59**: $R_f = 0.14$ (silica

gel, 30% EtOAc in hexanes); $[a]_{12}^{22} = +17.4$ (c = 0.87, CHCl₃); IR(thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3410, 2958, 1737, 1693, 1681, 1510, 1454, 1243, 1152, 1025 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 320 K): <math>\delta = 7.39$ -7.29 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.12 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.90 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.26 (s, 1H), 5.14 (br.s, 1H), 5.02 (s, 2 H, CH₂Ph), 4.87 (br.s, 1H, CHCOOEt), 4.17 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, OCH₂CH₃), 2.57 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 1.64 (br.s, 2H, NCHCH₂), 1.42 (s, 10H, *t*BuO, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.22 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.92 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.88 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 172.6, 169.3, 158.5, 158.4, 156.4, 155.0, 136.8, 136.6, 131.1, 128.5, 128.4, 127.9, 127.3, 127.3, 114.7, 114.5, 80.9, 80.5, 74.6, 74.5, 69.8, 61.9, 59.0, 56.9, 56.1, 36.3, 29.8, 29.0, 28.2, 24.7, 23.2, 23.1, 21.6, 21.2, 14.0; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₃₀H₄₂N₂O₇Cs [<math>M$ + Cs⁺] 675.2046, found 675.2022.

Carboxylic acid 60: To a solution of ester 59 (390 mg, 0.72 mmol) in THF/ H₂O (1:1, 7 mL) at 0 °C was added lithium hydroxide monohydrate (62 mg, 1.4 mmol) and the resulting mixture was stirred at that temperature for 1 h. The reaction mixture was carefully acidified with 5 % aqueous HCl at 0 °C to pH 4 and then extracted with EtOAc (3×15 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (25 mL), brine (25 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo to give carboxylic acid 60 (370 mg, 99%). 60: $R_f =$ 0.13 (silica gel, 5 % MeOH in CH_2Cl_2); $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +23.3$ (c = 0.75, $CHCl_3$); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3410, 1731, 1681, 1513, 1454, 1392, 1368, 1322, 1245, 1154,$ 1064, 1025, 909, 734 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 315 K): $\delta = 7.37 - 1000$ 7.32 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.20 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.89 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.08 (br.s, 1H, H-2\beta), 5.00 (s, 2H, CH2Ph), 4.92-4.88 (m, 1H, CHCOOH), 4.66 (br. s, 1 H, COCHN) 2.51 (br. s, 3 H, NCH₃), 1.56-1.52 (m, 2H, NCHCH₂), 1.45-1.40 (m, 10H, tBuO, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.91 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.87 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 171.7, 158.5, 155.7, 136.7, 128.5, 127.4, 127.3, 114.5, 87.9, 81.3,$ 74.4, 74.2, 69.8, 58.6, 58.0, 57.7, 36.3, 29.5, 28.3, 24.5, 23.3, 23.0, 21.8, 21.1; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{28}H_{38}N_2O_7$ [$M + H^+$] 515.2757, found 515.2770.

Tripeptide 61: To a solution of carboxylic acid 60 (2.66 g, 7.19 mmol) and amine 13 (3.69 g, 7.19 mmol) in THF (100 mL) at 0°C was added HOAt (3.22 g, 23.7 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for 5 min before EDC (4.12 g, 21.6 mmol) was added. The reaction was allowed to warm to 25 °C and stirred for 12 h before it was diluted with EtOAc (400 mL). The reaction mixture was washed with aqueous 5% HCl $(3 \times 100 \text{ mL})$, 5% aqueous NaHCO₃(200 mL), H₂O (200 mL), brine (200 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, $50\!\rightarrow\!\!70\,\%$ EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) provided tripeptide **61** (4.50 g, 82 %). $R_f = 0.28$ (silica gel, 70% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = +35.4$ (c = 0.94, CHCl₃); IR(thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3312, 1742, 1650, 1610, 1511, 1453, 1387, 1247, 1175,$ 1148, 1033, 830, 732 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃SOCD₃, 315 K): $\delta =$ 8.61 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 8.18 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.38-7.28 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.17 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.11-7.09 (m, 5H, ArH), 6.85-6.82 (m, 5H, ArH), 5.96 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 1H, CHAr₂), 5.32 (br.s, 1H, CHOH), 5.02 (s, 2 H, CH₂Ph), 4.71 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1 H, CHCONH), 4.66 (q, J=6.2 Hz, 1 H, CHCONH), 4.49 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 1 H, CHCONH), 3.68 (s, 6H, ArOCH₃), 3.49 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 2.68 (dd, J=18.5, 5.5 Hz, 1H, CHCHH), 2.60 (dd, J = 18.5, 5.5 Hz, 1 H, CHCHH), 2.34 (br.s, 3 H, NCH₃), 1.39 (br.s, 12H, tBuO, CH(CH₃)₂, CH₂CH), 0.83 (m, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃SOCD₃): $\delta = 171.3$, 170.9, 168.6, 158.8, 158.6, 136.9, 133.4, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 127.9, 127.4, 114.8, 114.7, 114.6, 114.0, 80.9, 80.1, 76.8, 69.9, 57.8, 55.9, 55.2, 52.8, 49.5, 37.4, 36.1, 28.3, 24.5, 23.3, 21.6; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{48}H_{60}N_4O_{11}Cs [M + Cs^+]$ 1001.3313, found 1001.3360

TBS ether 62: To a solution of alcohol **61** (500 mg, 0.58 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (6 mL) at 0 °C was added 2,6-lutidine (143 µL, 1.3 mmol) and *tert*butyldimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (171 µL, 0.75 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at that temperature for 2 h and then it was quenched by the addition of 5% aqueous NaHCO₃ (5 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 10 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous CuSO₄ (2 × 10 mL), brine (15 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (silica gel, 10 → 30% EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) to yield compound **62** (462 mg, 81%). **62**: R_f = 0.54 (silica gel, 60% EtOAc in hexanes); $[a]_D^2$ = +12.9 (c = 0.96, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): \hat{v}_{max} = 2936, 1741, 1697, 1611, 1511, 1247, 1175, 1086, 1029 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 315 K): δ = 7.39 – 7.22 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.12 – 7.07 (m, 6H, ArH), 6.89 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.84 – 6.81 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.04 (br.s, 1H, CHAr₂), 5.10 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H, CHOTBS), 5.01 (s, 2 H, CH₂Ph), 4.82–4.78 (m, 1 H, CHCONH), 4.65–4.62 (m, 2 H, CHCONH), 3.77 (s, 6 H, OCH₃), 3,59 (s, 3 H, COOCH₃), 2.74 (br. d, 1 H, CHCH₂), 2.65 (dd, J = 18.0, 4.0 Hz, 1 H, CHCH₂), 2.52 (br. s, 3 H, NCH₃), 1.43 (br. s, 12 H, OC(CH₃)₃, CH(CH₃)₂, CHCH₂), 0.89 (m, 15 H, *t*BuSi, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.02 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃), -0.01 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 171.2, 171.0, 169.0, 168.8, 168.7, 158.8, 158.5, 136.9, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 114.7, 114.3, 114.0, 80.7, 80.6, 73.8, 69.9, 57.2, 55.9, 55.3, 55.2, 52.6, 48.9, 48.8, 37.4, 37.2, 36.3, 36.1, 29.6, 28.3, 28.2, 25.8, 25.7, 24.6, 24.3, 23.2, 23.1, 21.6, 21.7, 21.2, 18.1, 16.1, 8.2, 7.1, 1.0, -4.8, -5.1; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₃₄H₇₄N₄O₁₁SiCs [<math>M + Cs^+$] 1115.4178, found 1115.4116.

Phenol 63: To a solution of compound 62 (517 mg, 0.53 mmol) in MeOH (6 mL) was added 20 $\%~Pd(OH)_2/C$ (20 mg). Hydrogen was bubbled through the reaction mixture for 1 h. The solution was filtered through a pad of celite and the celite was washed thoroughly with MeOH (2×5 mL). The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give phenol 63 (480 mg, 99%). 63: $R_f = 0.45$ (60% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +15.7$ (c = 0.73, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3328, \ 2955, \ 1743, \ 1661, \ 1612, \ 1512, \ 1465, \ 1249, \ 1175,$ 1086 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 315 K): δ = 7.16 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 7.14–7.08 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.85–6.81 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.71 (d, J =9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.07 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H, CHAr₂), 5.14 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H, CHOTBS), 4.81-4.79 (m, 1H, CHCONH), 4.63-4.61 (m, 2H, CHCONH), 3.77 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 3.61 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 2.85 (dd, J= 18.0, 6.5 Hz, 1 H, CHCHH), 2.65 (dd, J = 18.0, 4.5 Hz, 1 H, CHCHH), 2.56 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 1.50 (m, 3H, CHCH₂, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.40 (br.s, 9H, tBuO), 0.89-0.83 (m, 15H, tBuSi, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.01 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), -0.13 (s, 3H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 171.1$, 169.1, 168.8, 158.9, 158.8, 156.3, 133.6, 128.8, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 115.5, 115.4, 115.2, 114.0, 113.9, 74.0, 59.9, 57.0, 55.9, 55.2, 52.6, 49.0, 40.3, 37.0, 36.2, 36.0, 29.7, 28.3, 25.8, 25.7, 24.6, 24.3, 23.3, 21.2, 18.1, 1.0, -4.8, -5.2; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{47}H_{68}N_4O_{11}SiCs [M + Cs^+]$ 1025.3708, found 1025.3770.

Chloride 64: To a solution of phenol 63 (470 mg, 0.53 mmol) in ether (5 mL) at 0°C under darkness was added thionyl chloride (50 µL, 0.64 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h and then it was quenched by the addition of 5% aqueous NaHCO3 (5 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (10 mL), brine (10 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \rightarrow 30$ % EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) provided chloride 64 (360 mg, 75%). 64: $R_f = 0.5$ (silica gel, 60% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +12.5$ (c = 0.68, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3319, 1737, 1666, 1652, 1510, 1249, 1176 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃SOCD₃, 320 K): $\delta = 9.80$ (s, 1 H, ArOH), 8.61 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.26 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, NH), 7.20 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.10 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 4H, ArH), 6.99 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.84 - 6.80 (m, 5H, 5H)ArH), 5.97 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, CHAr₂), 5.48 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1 H, CHOTBS), 4.64 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1 H, CHCONH), 4.51 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, CHCONH), 4.42 (br.s, 1H, CHCONH), 3.69 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 3.49 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 2.67 (dd, J=19.5, 6.5 Hz, 1 H, CHCHH), 2.56 (dd, J=17.4, 4.6 Hz, 1 H, CHCHH), 2.40 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 1.40 (s, 12H, tBuO, CH(CH₃)₂, CHCH₂), 0.81 - 0.79 (m, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.70 (s, 9H, tBuSi), -0.08 (s, 3H, SiCH₃), -0.23 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃SOCD₃): $\delta = 171.2$, 171.2, 170.8, 168.8, 168.5, 168.2, 158.8, 158.7, 151.4, 133.5, 133.5, 132.8, 132.5, 128.7, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 127.5, 126.6, 126.2, 120.0, 119.8, 116.4, 114.0, 113.8, 80.9, 80.2, 73.3, 60.4, 59.8, 55.9, 55.2, 52.6, 48.9, 37.2, 36.3, 29.9, 29.2, 28.2, 25.6, 24.6, 24.3, 23.3, 23.2, 21.6, 21.1, 21.0, 18.0, 14.1, -4.8, -5.1, -5.3; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{47}H_{67}CIN_4O_{11}SiCs [M + Cs^+]$ 1059.3318, found 1059.3388.

Carboxylic acid 17: To a solution of tripeptide ester **64** (3.82 g, 4.1 mmol) in *t*BuOH (36 mL) at 0 °C was added H₂O (18 mL). The reaction mixture was further cooled to -5 °C for 15 min and then lithium hydroxide monohydrate (0.69 g, 16.4 mmol) was added. The resulting slurry was stirred vigorously at 0 °C for 0.5 h and then it was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (50 mL). The mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) and stirred at 0 °C for 0.5 h. The aqueous phase was acidified to pH 5 by dropwise addition of 5% aqueous HCl at 0 °C and then extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 25 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (25 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 0 \rightarrow 100 % EtOAc in hexanes, then 10% MeOH in EtOAc, gradient elution) to afford tripeptide carboxylic acid **17** (3.55 g, 95%). **17**: R_f =0.22 (silica gel, 7.5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂); [α]₁₂²² +5.29 (c=2.61, MeOH); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$ =3306, 2958, 2931, 2857, 1650, 1508, 1251, 836 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃OD, 330 K): δ =

2616 -----

7.28 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-2b), 7.14 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 2 H, ArH (Ddm)), 7.13 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 2 H, ArH (Ddm)), 7.07 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.9 Hz, 1 H, H-2f), 6.84 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 2 H, ArH (Ddm)), 6.84-6.83 (m, 1 H, H-2e), 6.83 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 2 H, ArH (Ddm)), 6.08 (s, 1 H, NHC*H* (Ddm)), 5.00 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, H-2 β), 4.64 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, 2 α), 4.58 – 4.44 (m, 2 H, H-1 α and H-3 α), 3.75 (s, 3 H, Ddm-OCH₃), 2.84 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 1 H, H-3 β_{α}), 2.77 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 1 H, H-3 β_{B}), 2.51 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 1.51 – 1.35 (m, 3 H, H-1 β and H-1 γ), 1.45 (s, 9 H, *t*BuO), 0.87 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H, H-1 δ), 0.80 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3 H, H-1 δ), 0.80 (s, 9 H, *t*BuSi), 0.00 (s, 3 H, CH₃Si), -0.16 (s, 3 H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃COCD₃, 323 K): $\delta = 173.6$, 173.3, 171.1, 161.0, 155.0, 136.7, 136.0, 130.8, 130.7, 130.4, 128.9, 122.2, 118.9, 15.9, 81.7, 75.8, 61.8, 58.9, 57.8, 56.8, 38.7, 29.9, 27.5, 26.6, 24.7, 23.2, 19.9, -3.3; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₄₆H₆₄ClN₄O₁₁SiCs₂ [$M - H + 2Cs^+$] 1177.2138, found 1177.2088.

Amino alcohol 65: To a solution of TBS ether 46 (1.31 g, 2.6 mmol) in THF (25 mL) at 0°C was added nBu₄NF (1.0 M solution in THF, 2.9 mL, 2.9 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at that temperature for 2 h and then it was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (15 mL). The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3×40 mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with H₂O (50 mL), brine (50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \rightarrow 15\%$ MeOH in CHCl₃, gradient elution) afforded 65 (938 mg, 92%). 65: $R_f = 0.23$ (silica gel, 20% MeOH in CHCl₃); $[\alpha]_D^{22} =$ -33.4 (*c* = 1.12, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3344, 3292, 2971, 2866, 1415,$ 1338, 1309, 1223, 1046 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.48 (s, 2H, ArH), 3.90 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.87 (dd, J=7.8, 4.3 Hz, 1H, ArCH), 3.67 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.60 (dd, J=10.8, 4.3 Hz, 1H, CHHOH), 3.42 (dd, J= 10.8, 7.8 Hz, 1 H, CHHOH), 2.45 (br.s, 3 H, OH and NH2), 2.02 (br.s, 4 H, CH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 147.0, 141.6, 130.4, 117.7, 67.4, 56.1,$ 51.1, 46.5, 23.8, 23.5; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{12}H_{17}Br_2N_4O$ [$M + H^+$] 392.9749, found 392.9747.

Tetrapeptide 66: A solution of hydroxy amine 65 (216 mg, 0.55 mmol), acid 17 (503 mg, 0.55 mmol) and HOAt (250 mg, 1.82 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at 0°C was treated with EDC (315 mg, 1.65 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 h and then quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (5 mL). The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 10 mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with H₂O (15 mL), brine (15 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, $10 \rightarrow 20\%$ EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) afforded tetrapeptide 66 (594 mg, 84%). 66: $R_f = 0.20$ (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -47.5$ (c = 1.14, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3314, 2945, 1658, 1502, 1417, 1247, 1169, 1092, 1$ 1035 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃COCD₃, 323 K): $\delta = 8.60$ (s, 1 H, OH), 8.05 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.94 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.89 (d, J= 8.1 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.56 (s, 2 H, ArH), 7.50 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.29 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.18 (t, J = 8.9 Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.01 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.88-6.84 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.72 (br.s, 1H, NH), 6.15 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H, CHAr₂), 4.99 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.90 (dd, J = 13.1, 5.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.77 - 4.73 (m, 1 H), 4.64 (br.s, 1 H), 4.41 (dd, J = 7.8, 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.97 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.77 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.75 $(br. s, 2H, NCH_2), 3.07 (dd, J = 15.7, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 2.74 - 2.66 (m, 2H, H-3\beta),$ 2.44 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.06 (br.s, 4H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.53-1.49 (m, 1H), 1.42 (s, 9H, tBuO), 1.45-1.34 (m, 2H), 0.87-0.84 (m, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.83 (s, 9H, tBuSi), 0.02 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.09 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃COCD₃, 323 K): δ = 173.1, 171.3, 170.9, 170.4, 159.6, 159.6, 153.8, 147.7, $140.9,\,135.5,\,135.4,\,134.2,\,131.8,\,129.4,\,129.2,\,127.9,\,117.9,\,117.5,\,114.4,\,80.4,\,129.2,\,127.9,\,117.9,\,117.5,\,114.4,\,129.4,\,1$ 74.2, 65.8, 62.2, 56.3, 55.5, 55.5, 51.6, 51.0, 47.2, 36.9, 28.6, 28.5, 26.2, 26.1, 25.2, 24.5, 24.1, 23.6, 21.5, 18.5, -4.6, -4.8; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₅₈H₇₉Br₂ClN₈O₁₁SiCs [M + Cs⁺] 1419.2734, found 1419.2734.

D-O-E cyclic system 67 a and 67 b: To a solution of tetrapeptide **66** (232 mg, 0.18 mmol) and CuBr·Me₂S (156 mg, 0.54 mmol) in degassed MeCN (18 mL) at 25 °C was added K₂CO₃ (75 mg, 0.54 mmol) and pyridine (44 µL, 0.54 mmol). The resulting mixture was refluxed and stirred for 15 min. The reaction mixture was cooled to 25 °C and filtered through a pad of celite. The celite was washed thoroughly with EtOAc (3 × 20 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (20 mL), brine (20 mL), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed in vacuo and flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, 10 →30% acetone in hexanes, gradient elution) afforded **67a** (141 mg, 65%) and **67b** (47 mg, 22%). **67a**: R_f =0.22 (silica gel, 40% acetone in hexanes); $[a]_{12}^{22}$ = +31.2 (*c* = 1.18, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): \tilde{v}_{max} = 3442, 2954, 2493, 1673, 1647, 1502, 1416, 1324,

1245, 1166, 1107, 1028 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃COCD₃, 323 K): $\delta =$ 7.99 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.64-7.50 (br.s, 2 H, NH and H-2f), 7.31 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1 H, H-2b), 7.26 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H, H-2e), 7.21 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H-4b), 7.17–7.13 (m, 5H, NH and 4ArH), 6.88–6.85 (m, 5H, NH and 4ArH), 6.11 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H, CHAr₂), 6.05 (br.s, 1 H, H-4f), 5.54 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1 H, H-2β), 4.90 (br.s, 1 H, H-2α), 4.79 (br.s, 1 H, H-4α), 4.66 (br.s, 1H, H-3a), 4.59 (br.s, 1H, H-1a), 3.82-3.72 (m, 6H), 3.77 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.77 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.86 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.85-2.66 (m, 2H, H-3β), 2.05 (br.s, 4H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.73-1.66 (m, 3H, H-1 β and H-1 γ), 1.50 (s, 9H, tBuO), 0.92 (s, 9H, tBuSi), 0.89-0.86 (m, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.14 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), 0.11 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃COCD₃, 323 K): $\delta =$ 173.1, 171.4, 170.8, 170.7, 159.9, 159.9, 154.0, 148.0, 141.1, 135.7, 135.7, 134.4, 132.0, 129.8, 129.6, 129.5, 128.0, 118.0, 117.7, 114.7, 114.7, 80.6, 74.7, 66.0, 62.2, 56.6, 55.8, 55.7, 51.3, 37.5, 37.3, 28.7, 26.3, 25.5, 24.5 (br), 23.6, 22.1, 18.7, -4.4, -4.6; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₅₈H₇₈BrClN₈O₁₁Cs [M + Cs⁺] calcd 1339.3477, found 1339.3543. 67b: $R_f = 0.13$ (silica gel, 40% acetone in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +8.54$ (c = 0.89, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3389$, 2941, 2862, 1673, 1509, 1410, 1318, 1245, 1166, 1100, 1034 cm $^{-1};\ ^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CD}_3\text{COCD}_3, 323 \text{ K}): \delta = 8.12 \text{ (s, 1 H, NH)}, 7.69 - 7.50 \text{ (m, 2 H)},$ 7.31 (s, 1 H, NH), 7.21 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.17 - 7.11 (m, 5 H, ArH), 6.93 -6.91 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.88-6.85 (m, 5H, ArH), 6.23-6.21 (m, 1H, H-4f), 6.09 $(d, J = 8.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{CHAr}_2), 5.53 (d, J = 4.8 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{H}-2\beta), 4.90 (br. s, 1 \text{ H}, 1 \text{ H})$ H-2a), 4.77 (br.s, 1H), 4.65 (br.s, 1H), 4.54 (br.s, 1H), 3.90 (br.s, 2H, NCH2), 3.77 (s, 3H, OCH3), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH3), 3.80-3.73 (m, 1H), 3.65 (br. s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.49 (br. s, 1H), 2.87 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.60-2.55 (m, 2H, H-3 β), 2.04 (br. s, 4 H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.75 – 1.71 (m, 3 H, H-1 β and H-1 γ), 1.53 (s, 9H, tBuO), 1.50-1.47 (m, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.91 (s, 9H, tBuSi), 0.13 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), 0.11 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃COCD₃, 323 K): $\delta \,{=}\, 172.7,\, 171.3,\, 170.8,\, 168.6,\, 159.6,\, 158.0,\, 157.0,\, 153.8,\, 153.1,\, 139.8,\, 138.9,\,$ 138.6, 135.1, 129.4, 129.3, 128.7, 125.8, 125.4, 123.3, 122.8, 118.9, 118.8, 115.9, 115.4, 114.5, 80.6, 73.8, 73.6, 65.5, 60.9, 57.2, 56.7, 56.3, 55.5, 51.6, 51.1, 46.9, 39.2, 37.2, 28.6, 26.2, 25.4, 24.5, 24.1, 23.7, 22.1, 18.9, -4.8, -4.8; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{58}H_{78}BrClN_8O_{11}Cs$ [$M + Cs^+$] calcd 1339.3477, found 1339.3544.

Carboxylic acid 7: To a solution of alcohol 67 a (500 mg, 0.414 mmol) in 5 % aqueous NaHCO₃/acetone (1:1, 4 mL) at 0 °C were added TEMPO (71 mg, 0.46 mmol) and potassium bromide (5 mg, 0.04 mmol). Sodium hypochlorite (5% aqueous, 2 mL) was added dropwise and the resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h before it was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $NH_4Cl (5 mL)$. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 10 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 5→10% MeOH in CHCl₃) to afford carboxylic acid 7 (330 mg, 65 %). 7: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 7.65 (br.s, 1 H), 7.54 (br.s, 1H), 7.35-7.32 (m, 1H), 7.23-7.20 (m, 1H), 7.08-7.05 (m, 4H), 6.86-6.82 (m, 4 H), 6.08 (s, 1 H), 5.89 (s, 1 H), 5.51-5.48 (m, 1 H), 5.16-5.10 (m, 1H), 5.04-4.80 (m, 2H), 4.66-4.59 (br.s, 1H), 3.90-3.75 (m, 4H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 2.84 (s, 3H), 2.65 - 2.60 (m, 2H), 2.08 (br.s, 4H), 1.60 -1.50 (m, 12H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.12 (s, 3H), 0.09 (s, 3H); HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{58}H_{76}BrClN_8O_{12}SiCs [M + Cs^+]$ 1353.3270, found 1353.3362.

Epimers 5a and 5b: A solution of acid 7 (140 mg, 0.11 mmol) and amine 6 (97 mg, 0.11 mmol) at -15°C in THF (2.5 mL) was treated sequentially with HOAt (52 mg, 0.38 mmol) and EDC (66 mg, 0.33 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h before it was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (5 mL). The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 10 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \rightarrow 40$ % EtOAc in hexanes) to afford **5a** (114 mg, 48%) and **5b** (57 mg, 24%). **5a**: $R_f = 0.08$ (silica gel, 50 % EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃OD, 330 K): $\delta = 7.55 - 100$ 7.49 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.48-7.45 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.45-7.44 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.40 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.38 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 7.29 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.24 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.17 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.12 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.10 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.12-7.10 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.02 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.89 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.78 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.31 (s, 1 H, ArH), 6.20 (s, 1 H, CHAr₂), 5.88 (s, 1 H), 5.76 (s, 1 H), 5.67 (m, 1 H), 5.42 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.15 (m, 1 H), 5.03 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.99 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.85 - 4.82 (m, 2 H), 4.72 -4.67 (m, 2H), 4.42-4.35 (m, 2H), 4.10-4.09 (m, 4H), 4.08 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.05 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.04 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.90-3.88 (m, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.75 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.12 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.86-2.81 (m, 1H), 2.77-

0947-6539/99/0509-2617 \$ 17.50+.50/0

2.73 (m, 1 H), 2.04 (br.s, 2 H), 1.94 (br.s, 2 H), 1.56 (s, 9 H, tBuO), 1.48 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 1.23 – 1.18 (m, 9 H), 1.17 (s, 9 H, tBuSi), 1.09 (s, 9 H, tBuSi), 0.38 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), 0.34 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), 0.25 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), 0.11 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); electrospray MS calcd for $C_{101}H_{128}BrCl_2N_{13}O_{20}Si_2Na$ [$M + Na^+$] 2073, found 2073. **5b**: $R_f = 0.12$ (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃OD, 330 K): $\delta = 7.23 - 7.15$ (m, 5H, ArH), 7.12 (d, J =8.3 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.10 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.04 - 7.00 (m, 4H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.83 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.79-6.74 (m, 6H, ArH), 6.69–6.65 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.51 (d, J=2.3 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.41 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, ArH), 5.94 (s, 1H, ArH), 5.79 (br.s, 1H), 5.54 (s, 1H), 5.42 (s, 1 H), 5.35 - 5.30 (m, 2 H), 5.11 - 5.10 (m, 1 H), 4.80 - 4.70 (m, 3 H), 4.67 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.52 - 4.50 (m, 2 H), 4.38 - 4.32 (m, 2 H), 4.04 (br. s, 2 H), 3.86 (br. s, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.70 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 3.72-3.68 (m, 2H), 3.51 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.35 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.78 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.42-2.39 (m, 2H), 1.98 (br.s, 4H), 1.41 (s, 9H, tBuO), 1.18-1.14 (m, 3H), 0.89-0.82 (m, 9H), 0.80 (s, 9H, tBuSi), 0.76 (s, 9H, tBuSi), 0.02 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.02 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.10 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.24 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); electrospray MS calcd for $C_{101}H_{128}BrCl_2N_{13}O_{20}Si_2Na$ [$M + Na^+$] 2073, found 2073.

Carboxylic acid 68: To a solution of amino acid **29** (8.80 g, 0.030 mol) in THF/H₂O (1:1, 150 mL) at 0 °C was added anhydrous LiOH (1.08 g, 0.045 mol). The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 0.5 h before it was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous citric acid (150 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3×200 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with H₂O (50 mL), brine (100 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo to afford crude carboxylic acid **68** (8.45 g, 99%), which was used in the next step without further purification.

Phenol 69: A solution of carboxylic acid 68 (911 mg, 3.23 mmol) and amine 35 (1.0 g, 2.95 mmol) in DMF (30 mL) at -20 °C was sequentially treated with HOBt (1.49 g, 9.73 mmol) and EDC (3.0 mg, 8.85 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 12 h before it was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous citric acid (60 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 75 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with H_2O (50 mL), brine (50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, $0 \rightarrow 50\%$ EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) afforded phenol 69 (1.44 g, 81 %). 69: $R_f = 0.15$ (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{22} =$ -49.6 (c = 1.2, MeOH); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3343$, 2931, 1694, 1514, 1252, 1169, 1082, 1039 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): $\delta = 8.28$ (s, 1 H, OH), 7.33 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.26 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, H-5b), 6.98 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, H-6b), 6.88 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, H-5c), 6.64 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, H-6c), 6.41 (br.s, 1H, NH), 5.27 - 5.21 (m, 2H, H-5 α and H-6 β), 4.53 $(dd, J = 11.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6\alpha), 4.21 - 4.10 (m, 2 H, OCH₂CH₃), 3.80 (s,$ 3H, OCH₃), 1.39 (s, 9H, *t*BuO), 1.27 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.08 (s, 9H, tBuSi), -0.03 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.23 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): δ = 170.7, 160.2, 157.7, 132.4, 129.7, 129.5, 128.9, 128.5, 128.5, 115.6, 114.6, 75.0, 61.9, 60.1, 58.3, 55.5, 28.5, 26.1, 18.6, 14.4, -4.5, -5.3; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₃₁H₄₆N₂O₈SiCs [$M + Cs^+$] 735.2078, found 735.2063.

Chloride 70: A solution of phenol 69 (1.48 g, 2.46 mmol) in Et₂O/CH₂Cl₂ (5:1, 30 mL) at -10° C was treated with SO₂Cl₂ (0.25 mL, 2.50 mmol). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at 0°C for 0.5 h and then quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (60 mL). The mixture was then extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 60 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, 30% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded chloride **70** (1.38 g, 88 %). **70**: $R_f = 0.15$ (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -51.6$ (c = 0.68, MeOH); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3417, 2930$, 1682, 1513, 1252, 1167, 1090, 1030 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): $\delta = 8.66$ (s, 1 H, OH), 7.34 (s, 1 H, H-6b), 7.28 – 7.22 (m, 3 H, H-5b and H-6f), 6.91 (s, 1 H, NH), 6.88 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H, H-5c), 6.80 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6e), 6.35 (br.s, 1H, NH), 5.27 (s, 1H, H-5 α), 5.25 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, H-6 β), 4.59 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6 α), 4.25 – 4.12 (m, 2 H, OCH₂CH₃), 3.80 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.39 (s, 9H, *t*BuO), 1.29 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.85 (s, 9H, tBuSi), 0.00 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.19 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR $(150 \text{ MHz}, \text{CD}_3\text{COCD}_3): \delta = 171.2, 170.4, 160.2, 154.3, 153.1, 143.4, 134.2,$ 129.3, 128.6, 126.8, 120.5, 117.1, 114.7, 79.3, 74.3, 62.0, 59.9, 58.2, 55.5, 28.5, 26.0, 18.6, 14.4, -4.5, -5.3; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C_{31}H_{53}N_2O_8SiCl}$ [M +H⁺] 637.2712, found 637.2736.

Amine 71: Chloride **70** (583 mg, 0.915 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) and cooled to $-10^{\circ}C$. Trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (550 µL, 3.04 mmol) was added dropwise over 5 min. The reaction mixture

was stirred at -10° C for 0.5 h at which time 2,6-lutidine (320 µL, 2.75 mmol) was added. After stirring for 0.5 h, the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (60 mL). The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (Na2SO4), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, 10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) afforded amine **71** (384 mg, 75%). **71**: $R_f = 0.21$ (silica gel, 80% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -27.4$ (c = 1.6, MeOH); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3347, 2957, 1733, 1661, 1514, 1552, 1091, 1030 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.47$ (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.30 (d, J =8.5 Hz, 2H, H-5b), 7.17 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-6b), 6.97 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-6f), 6.89 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, H-5c), 6.84 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, H-6e), 5.23 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6 β), 4.59 (dd, J = 9.5, 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6 α), 4.42 (s, 1H, H-5a), 4.22-4.18 (m, 1H, OCHHCH₃), 4.14-4.10 (m, 1H, OCHHCH₃), 3.80 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.26 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.86 (s, 9H, tBuSi), -0.03 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.20 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR $(150 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 174.0, 170.7, 160.3, 151.8, 134.8, 133.7, 129.3, 127.5,$ 126.7, 120.4, 116.9, 115.2, 74.2, 62.5, 60.1, 59.8, 56.1, 26.4, 18.8, 14.9, -3.8, -4.8; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₂₆H₃₇N₂O₆SiClCs [$M + Cs^+$] 669.1164, found 669.1148.

Tripeptide 72: A solution of carboxylic acid 12 (320 mg, 0.630 mmol) and amine 71 (368 mg, 0.686 mmol) in THF (25 mL) at -10° C was sequentially treated with HOAt (284 mg, 2.08 mmol) and EDC (363 mg, 1.89 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at -10 °C for 2 h before it was quenched by the addition of H₂O (40 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3×50 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (40 mL), saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (40 mL), brine (40 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, 70% acetone in hexanes) afforded tripeptide **72** (512 mg, 79 %). **72**: $R_f = 0.43$ (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in benzene); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -43.2$ (c = 0.63, MeOH); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3321$, 2930, 1668, 1514, 1417, 1253, 1094, 1029 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD_3COCD_3): $\delta = 8.72$ (s, 1H, OH), 8.05 (br.s, 1H, NH), 7.70 (s, 2H, H-4b), 7.46 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.25 (s, 1 H, H-6b), 7.21 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, H-5b), 6.89-6.82 (m, 3H, H-5c and H-6f), 6.75 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 1H, H-6e), 6.63 (br.s, 1H, NH), 5.56 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, H-5 α), 5.37 (br.s, 1H, H-4 α), 5.24 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6 β), 4.55 (dd, J = 9.5, 3.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6 α), 4.18-4.05 (m, 2H, OCH2CH3), 3.91-3.86 (br.s, 2H, NCH2), 3.80 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.63-3.58 (br. s, 2H, NCH₂), 2.13-2.02 (br. d, 4H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.36 (s, 9H, tBuO), 1.21 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.83 (s, 9H, tBuSi), -0.03 (s, 3 H, CH₃Si), -0.21 (s, 3 H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃CN): $\delta = 170.3, 170.1, 169.3, 160.1, 155.6, 152.3, 148.5, 138.0, 134.1, 132.0, 130.7,$ 129.0, 128.8, 128.2, 126.5, 120.1, 116.8, 114.8, 80.2, 73.7, 62.3, 59.8, 57.3, 56.8, 55.7, 51.8, 47.2, 28.2, 25.7, 24.4, 23.9, 18.3, 14.2, -4.8, -5.5; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{43}H_{59}N_6O_9ClSiBr_2Cs$ [$M + Cs^+$] 1157.1049, found 1157.1103.

C-O-D Ring System 73a and 73b: To a solution of tripeptide 72 (540 mg, 0.527 mmol), CuBr · Me₂S (294 mg, 1.43 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (192 mg, 1.39 mmol) in degassed MeCN (20 mL) at 25 °C was added pyridine (106 $\mu L,$ 1.31 mmol). The resulting mixture was refluxed for 0.5 h and then it was cooled to 25°C, diluted with EtOAc (40 mL) and guenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl (40 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 0.5 h and then extracted with EtOAc (3×50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (40 mL) and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed in vacuo and flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, $10 \rightarrow 30$ % acetone in hexanes, gradient elution) afforded C-O-D ring system **73a** (122 mg, 25%) and **73b** (136 mg, 27%). **73a**: $R_f = 0.12$ (silica gel, 30% EtOAc in hexanes); $[a]_{D}^{22} = +19.5$ (c = 0.98, MeOH); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3307, 2928, 1714, 1514, 1416, 1337, 1252, 1178, 1097,$ 1030 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): $\delta = 7.53$ (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6b), 7.44-7.39 (m, 3H, H-4f and H-5b), 7.28-7.18 (m, 2H, NH, H-6f), 7.14 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-6e), 7.12 (s, 1 H, H-4b), 7.02 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2 H, H-5c), 6.38 (br. s, 1 H, NH), 5.80 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.46 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-4 α), 5.44 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6 β), 5.31 – 5.23 (br.s, 1 H, H-5 α), 4.46 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-6a), 4.25-4.17 (m, 1 H, OCHHCH₃), 4.12-4.04 (m, 1 H, OCHHCH₃), 3.96-3.85 (br.s, 2 H, NCH₂), 3.82 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.69-3.61 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂), 2.14-2.03 (br.d, 4H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.37 (s, 9H, *t*BuO), 1.27 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.77 (s, 9H, *t*BuSi), -0.03 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.14 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): $\delta =$ 169.4, 168.7, 168.5, 160.9, 154.2, 152.5, 138.8, 132.3, 130.7, 129.5, 128.6, 128.0, 126.8, 126.7, 123.0, 119.0, 118.4, 115.3, 114.7, 114.5, 79.5, 74.4, 62.4, 61.0, 58.2, 57.7, 55.6, 51.6, 47.0, 28.5, 26.0, 24.5, 24.1, 18.4, 14.3, -4.3, -5.6; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{43}H_{56}N_6O_9SiClBrCs$ [$M + Cs^+$] 1077.1790, found 1077.1759. **73b**: $R_f = 0.21$ (silica gel, 30% acetone in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{22} =$ -11.5 (c = 0.46, CH₃OH); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3417, 2929, 1714, 1514,$ 1416, 1336, 1253, 1164, 1093, 1031 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN): $\delta =$ 7.37 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6f), 7.34 (dd, J = 2.0, 1.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6b), 7.26 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-6e), 7.22 (s, 1 H, H-4f), 7.14 (br.s, 2 H, H-5b), 7.01 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, H-5c), 6.83 (s, 1H, H-4b), 6.77 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H, NH), 5.92 (s, 1 H, NH), 5.68 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.42 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6 β), 5.12 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1 H, H-5α), 5.00 (s, 1 H, H-4α), 4.67 (s, 1 H, H-6α), 4.22 -4.17 (m, 1 H, OCHHCH₃), 4.08-4.04 (m, 1 H, OCHHCH₃), 3.97-3.90 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.80 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.72-3.62 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂), 2.12-2.06 (br. s, 2 H, NCH₂CH₂), 2.05-1.98 (br. s, 2 H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.39 (s, 9 H, tBuO), 1.26, (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.75 (s, 9H, tBuSi), -0.05 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.18 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃CN): $\delta = 169.8$, 169.4, 168.9, 161.0, 158.4, 152.3, 151.8, 140.3, 130.3, 129.8, 128.2, 128.0, 127.1, 126.5, 125.2, 119.7, 119.1, 117.2, 115.2, 114.8, 74.9, 73.8, 62.7, 60.5, 59.1, 58.6, 55.9, 51.8, 47.1, 28.3, 25.8, 25.6, 24.1, 18.3, 14.1, -4.9, -5.3; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{43}H_{56}N_6O_9SiClBrCs$ [$M + Cs^+$] 1077.3030, found 1077.3067.

Alcohol 74: To a solution of amine 14 (230 mg, 0.73 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (7.3 mL) at 0 °C was added triethylamine (0.13 mL, 0.95 mmol) and Boc₂O (183 mg, 0.84 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in hexanes) afforded alcohol 74 (300 mg, 93 %). 74: R_f = 0.33 (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in hexanes); [α]_D²² = -62.4 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (thin film): $\bar{\nu}_{max}$ = 3347, 1733, 1667, 1513, 1257, 1091, 1030 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.35-7.24 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.32-7.30 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.18 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, H-2b), 6.92 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, H-2c), 5.28 (bd, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, H-2 β), 5.13 (br.s, 1H, NH), 5.04 (s, 2H, CH₂Ph), 4.65 - 4.63 (m, 1H, H-2α), 4.14 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, OCH₂CH₃), ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 169.8, 158.7, 156.3, 136.8, 131.6, 128.6, 128.5, 127.4, 127.3, 114.5, 80.5, 74.7, 69.9, 61.6, 59.6, 28.2, 14.0; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₂₃H₂₉NO₅Na [M + Na⁺] 438.1897, found 438.1904.

Carboxylic acid 75: A solution of alcohol **74** (3.34 g, 8.03 mmol) in THF/ H_2O (1:1, 50 mL) at 0°C was treated with anhydrous LiOH (385 mg, 16.2 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h before it was acidified to pH 3 by the addition of saturated aqueous KHSO₄. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 150 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (75 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo to afford crude carboxylic acid **75** (3.00 g, 96%), which was taken into the next step without further purification.

Dipeptide 76: A solution of acid 75 (1.90 g, 5.14 mmol) and amine 13 (1.60 g, 4.28 mmol) in THF (45 mL) at -20 °C was sequentially treated with HOBt (1.91 g, 14.1 mmol) and EDC (2.44 mg, 12.8 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 4 h before it was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (90 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 125 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with H₂O (75 mL), brine (75 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, $20 \rightarrow 70$ % EtOAc in hexanes, gradient elution) afforded dipeptide 76 (2.03 g, 65 %). 76: $R_f =$ 0.25 (silica gel, 70% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -3.98 (c = 0.93, \text{MeOH});$ IR (thin film): $\tilde{v}_{max} = 3323$, 2953, 1737, 1657, 1511, 1247, 1175, 1033 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃SOCD₃): $\delta = 8.77$ (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 8.28 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, NH), 7.40-7.27 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.23 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.12 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H, ArH), 6.89 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.85 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 4H, ArH), 6.57 (d, J=9.5 Hz, 1H, NH), 5.96 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 1H, CHAr₂), 5.43 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1 H, H-2 β), 5.04 (s, 2 H, CH₂Ph), 4.69 – 4.64 (m, 1H, OH), 4.59 (dd, J = 8.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-2a), 4.09-4.02 (m, 1H, H-3a), 3.69 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 3.53 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 2.70 (dd, J = 15.5, 7.0 Hz, 1H, H-3 β), 2.58 (dd, J = 15.5, 5.0 Hz, 1 H, H-3 β), 1.20 (s, 9 H, tBuO); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃SOCD₃): δ = 172.9, 171.7, 169.4, 159.4, 159.4, 158.8, 156.0, 138.5, 136.0, 135.9, 129.7, 129.6, 129.5, 129.0, 128.6, 115.2, 115.0, 114.9, 79.2, 73.5, 70.4, 60.9, 56.4, 56.0, 53.2, 50.1, 38.4, 29.3; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{41}H_{47}N_3O_{10}Cs$ [*M* + Cs⁺] 874.2316, found 874.2345.

TBS ether 77: A solution of dipeptide **76** (263 mg, 0.361 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) was treated with TBSCl (200 mg, 1.33 mmol) and imidazole (200mg, 2.94 mmol) at 25 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred for 24 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (40 mL) and the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3×50 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (30 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica

gel, 30% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded TBS ether 77 (227 mg, 75%). 77: $R_f = 0.24$ (silica gel, 45% EtOAc in hexanes); $[a]_D^{22} = -23.6$ (c = 1.0, MeOH); IR (thin film): $\tilde{v}_{max} = 3306$, 2931, 1738, 1714, 1514, 1455, 1372, 1250, 1176, 1091, 1037 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): δ = 7.96 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.55 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.47 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, H-2b), 7.39-7.29 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.20-7.10 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.95 (d, J= 8.5 Hz, 2H, H-2c), 6.86 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 4H, ArH), 6.11 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, CHAr₂), 5.59 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-2β), 5.15 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.06 $(s, 2H, CH_2Ph), 4.82-4.74 \ (m, 1H, H-3\alpha), 4.38-4.29 \ (m, 1H, H-2\alpha), 3.76$ (s, 6H, OCH₃), 3.54 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 2.90-2.82 (m, 1H, H-3β), 2.73 (dd, J=16.0, 5.5 Hz, 1 H, H-3β), 1.33 (s, 9 H, tBuO), 0.87 (s, 9 H, tBuSi), 0.06 (s, 3 H, CH₃Si), -0.11 (s, 3 H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): $\delta =$ 171.7, 169.6, 169.1, 159.4, 159.3, 159.1, 155.5, 138.1, 135.3, 135.2, 133.5, 129.2, 129.0, 129.0, 128.9, 128.7, 128.3, 128.2, 114.8, 114.3, 114.2, 79.1, 74.8, 70.2, 61.8, 56.0, 55.3, 52.1, 49.5, 37.6, 28.2, 26.0, 18.4, -4.9, -5.1; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{47}H_{61}N_3O_{10}SiCs [M + Cs^+]$ 988.3157, found 988.3181.

Phenol 78: To a solution of TBS ether 77 (219 mg, 0.256 mmol) in ethanol (5 mL) was added 10 % Pd(OH)₂/C (20 mg). The suspension was placed under a H₂ atmosphere. After stirring for 16 h, the reaction mixture was filtered through celite and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded phenol **78** (195 mg, 99 %). **78**: $R_f = 0.40$ (silica gel, 50 % EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{22} = -23.2 \ (c = 1.2, \text{MeOH}); \text{IR (thin film)}: \tilde{\nu}_{\rm max} = 3310, 1650, 1513, 1248,$ 1093 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): $\delta = 8.29$ (s, 1 H, OH), 7.94 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.54 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.23 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 7.20-7.14 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.87 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H, ArH), 6.78 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 6.10 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, CHAr₂), 5.54 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, H-2β), 5.11 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 4.82-4.76 (m, 1 H, H-2α), 4.33-4.27 $(m, 1H, H-3\alpha), 3.76$ (s, 6H, OCH₃), 3.57 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 2.88 (dd, J = 14.5, 3.0 Hz, 1 H, H-3 β), 2.73 (dd, J = 14.5, 5.5 Hz, 1 H, H-3 β), 1.32 (s, 9 H, tBuO), 0.84 (s, 9H, tBuSi), 0.04 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.12 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): $\delta = 171.7$, 169.7, 169.0, 159.4, 159.3, 157.5, 155.5, 135.3, 135.2, 131.9, 129.2, 129.0, 128.3, 115.4, 114.2, 114.2, 79.1, 74.6, 61.9, 56.0, 55.2, 49.4, 37.6, 28.2, 25.9, 19.2, -4.9, -5.2; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{40}H_{55}N_3O_{10}SiCs$ [$M + Cs^+$] 898.2711, found 898.2732.

Chloride 79: A solution of phenol 78 (244 mg, 0.318 mmol) in Et₂O/CH₂Cl₂ (5:1, 6 mL) at 0 °C was treated dropwise with SO₂Cl₂ (40 µL, 0.400 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 0.5 h before it was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO3 (20 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3×50 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (40 mL), dried (Na_2SO_4), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, 2% MeOH in CH_2Cl_2) afforded chloride **79** (232 mg, 91 %). **79**: $R_f = 0.42$ (silica gel, 50 %) EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -26.4$ (c = 0.89, MeOH); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} =$ 3316, 2930, 1742, 1667, 1514, 1250, 1176, 1092, 1036 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): $\delta = 8.72$ (s, 1H, OH), 7.96 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, NH), 7.66 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.39 (s, 1 H, H-2b), 7.21-7.14 (m, 5 H, ArH), 6.95 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-2e), 6.87 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4 H, ArH), 6.11 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, CHAr₂), 5.72 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-2 β), 5.06 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 4.83-4.78 (m, 1 H, H-2a), 4.34-4.29 (m, 1 H, H-3a), 3.76 (s, 6 H, OCH₃), 3.57 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 2.93-2.89 (m, 1H, H-3β), 2.75 (dd, J = 15.5, 5.5 Hz, 1 H, H-3*β*), 1.31 (s, 9 H, tBuO), 0.85 (s, 9 H, tBuSi), 0.04 (s, 3 H, CH₃Si), -0.11 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): $\delta =$ 172.1, 170.1, 169.4, 159.8, 159.7, 155.9, 153.4, 135.7, 134.3, 129.6, 129.4, 127.7, 120.7, 114.6, 79.5, 74.8, 62.0, 56,4, 55,6, 52.5, 49.9, 38.0, 28.5, 26.3, 18.8, -4.5, -4.7; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{40}H_{54}N_3O_{10}SiClCs$ [$M + Cs^+$] 932.2321, found 932.2344.

Carboxylic acid 80: A solution of chloride **79** (132 mg, 0.165 mmol) in *t*BuOH/H₂O (4:1, 10 mL) was cooled to 5 °C and the resulting slurry was treated with a solution of LiOH monohydrate (9 mg, 0.214 mmol) in H₂O (1 mL). The mixture was warmed to 10 °C and stirred for 1 h. The reaction was quenched with 1% aqueous HCl (1 mL), diluted with H₂O (10 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (25 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue (silica gel, 10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) afforded carboxylic acid **80** (98 mg, 76%). **80**: **8**_{*T*} = 0.08 (silica gel, 10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂); $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -21.7$ (*c* = 1.2, MeOH); IR (thin film): $\hat{v}_{max} = 3362$, 2930, 1660, 1513, 1249, 1176, 1093 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 7.30$ (s, 1 H, H-2b), 7.13 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.10 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-2 β), 4.92 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 4.62 (br.s, 1 H, CHAr₂), 6.05 (br.s, 1 H, H-2 β), 4.92 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 4.62 (br.s)

Chem. Eur. J. 1999, 5, No. 9 © WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH, D-69451 Weinheim, 1999 0947

0947-6539/99/0509-2619 \$ 17.50+.50/0

FULL PAPER

1 H, H-2α), 4.23 – 4.19 (m, 1 H, H-3α), 3.75 (s, 6 H, OCH₃), 2.82 – 2.71 (br.s, 2 H, H-3β), 1.31 (s, 9 H, *t*BuO), 0.82 (s, 9 H, *t*BuSi), 0.02 (s, 3 H, CH₃Si), –0.15 (s, 3 H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 171.0, 160.0, 159.7, 159.7, 156.6, 156.1, 153.6, 135.0, 134.9, 133.6, 129.3, 129.2, 127.3, 120.7, 116.6, 114.2, 80.0, 75.0, 62.0, 56.5, 55.1, 49.3, 39.0, 28.1, 25.8, 18.5, –5.1, –5.3; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₃₉H₃₂N₃O₁₀SiClCs [*M*+Cs⁺] 918.2165, found 918.2143.

Amine 81: To a solution of compound 73a (12.8 mg, 0.0136 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (4 mL) at -20 °C was added trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (25 μ L, 0.138 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at -20 °C for 1 h. 2,6-Lutidine (25 $\mu L,$ 0.215 mmol) was then added and the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 20 min. The reaction was then quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (10 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3×30 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed sequentially with saturated aqueous NaH-CO3 (10 mL), brine (10 mL), and dried (Na2SO4). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc) to afford amine 81 (9.1 mg, 80%). **81**: $R_f = 0.36$ (silica gel, EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +36.9$ (c = 0.55, MeCN); IR (thin film): $\tilde{v}_{max} = 3418$, 2926, 1770, 1668, 1514, 1417, 1336, 1250, 1099 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN): $\delta = 7.69$ (br.s, 1 H, NH), 7.47 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-6b), 7.34 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-4f), 7.01 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, H-6f), 6.91 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, H-5b), 6.87-6.84 (m, 3H, H-5c and H-6e), 6.82 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-4b), 5.72 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.37 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6 β), 4.96 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H, H-5 α), 4.77 (dd, J = 10.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6a), 4.25 (s, 1H, H-4a), 4.25-4.18 (m, 1H, OCHHCH₃), 4.09-4.04 (m, 1H, OCHHCH₃), 3.94-3.84 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.77 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.69-3.62 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂), 2.10-1.96 (br.d, 4H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.27, (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.71 (s, 9H, tBuSi), -0.06 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.25 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃CN): $\delta = 176.7, 170.0, 169.4, 160.8, 154.3,$ 153.9, 138.6, 134.2, 130.0, 128.8, 128.5, 128.4, 126.9, 123.8, 119.4, 118.7, 117.5, 114.7, 110.1, 73.6, 62.6, 61.5, 60.1, 58.6, 55.7, 47.2, 40.7, 30.1, 29.8, 25.7, 18.2, 14.1, -4.5, -5.7; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{38}H_{48}N_6O_7SiClBrCs [M + Cs^+]$ 975.1280, found 975.1256.

Pentapeptide 82: A solution of amine 81 (7.0 mg, 0.0083 mmol) and carboxylic acid 80 (5.0 mg, 0.0064 mmol) in THF (0.3 mL) at -15 °C was stirred for 15 min before the addition of HOAt (9.8 mg, 0.071 mmol). After 5 min EDC (3.0 mg, 0.016 mmol) was added and stirring was continued for an additional 2 h while the temperature was raised to 0 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of H_2O (5 mL) and diluted with EtOAc (5 mL). The mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (5 mL), dried (Na_2SO_4) and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 40% EtOAc in benzene) to afford pentapeptide 82 (8.3 mg, 81%). 82: $R_f = 0.21$ (silica gel, 5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = +5.54$ (c = 0.83, MeCN); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3318, 2928$, 1663, 1513, 1415, 1250, 1177, 1098, 1032 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN): $\delta = 7.49$ (s, 1 H, NH), 7.47-7.44 (br. s, 1 H, NH), 7.41 (dd, J = 1.5, 0.5 Hz, 1H, H-6b), 7.37 (br.s, 1H, OH), 7.31 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-4f), 7.24 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, H-5b), 7.20-7.16 (m, 3 H, H-2b, H-6f and NH), 7.09-7.05 (m, 5H, H-6e and ArH), 7.02-6.98 (m, 3H, H-4b and H-5c), 6.96 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, H-2f), 6.83 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.80-6.75 (m, 3H, H-2e and ArH), 6.67 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 1H, NH), 5.94 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H, CHAr₂), 5.76 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.42 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, H-5α), 5.37 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H-6 β), 5.28 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, H-4 α), 5.21 (br.s, 1H, H-2 β), 4.96 (br.s, 1 H, NH), 4.67-4.61 (m, 1 H, H-3 α), 4.51 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, H-6a), 4.21-4.16 (m, 2H, H-2a and OCHHCH₃), 4.09-4.02 (m, 1H, OCHHCH₃), 3.95-3.87 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.80 (s, 3H, 5-OCH₃), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.69 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.67-3.61 (br.s, 2H, NCH₂), 2.75-2.69 (m, 1H, H-3*β*), 2.58-2.53 (m, 1H, H-3*β*), 2.17-2.09 (bd, 4H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.23 (s, 9H, tBuO), 1.20 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.82 (s, 9H, tBuSi), 0.76 (s, 9H, *t*BuSi), 0.00 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.05 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.15 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.17 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃CN): $\delta = 171.3$, 170.8, 170.1, 169.3, 169.1, 168.1, 161.0, 159.6, 159.5, 157.5, 153.6, 152.9, 152.5, 157.5,141.6, 139.1, 137.1, 135.2, 135.2, 134.2, 130.9, 129.8, 129.2, 129.2, 129.1, 127.1, 127.0, 126.6, 123.1, 120.7, 119.6, 119.3, 119.0, 117.7, 117.6, 115.4, 114.6, 113.1, 112.1, 80.0, 74.3, 74.2, 62.7, 61.1, 60.8, 58.3, 56.5, 56.1, 55.9, 55.7, 55.6, 51.9, 51.1, 47.2, 37.5, 28.3, 25.9, 25.8, 24.5, 24.1, 18.6, 18.3, 14.4, -4.4, -4.8, -5.0, -5.7; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₇₇H₉₈N₉O₁₆SiCl₂BrCs [$M + Cs^+$] 1742.4285, found 1742.4194.

C-O-D/D-O-E System 83: To a solution of pentapeptide 82 (8.0 mg, 0.005 mmol), CuBr \cdot Me_2S (5.7 mg, 0.028 mmol) and K_2CO_3 (4.0 mg, 0.29 mmol) in degassed MeCN (0.6 mL) at 25 °C was added pyridine (2 µL, 0.026 mmol). The resulting mixture was refluxed for 2 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 25°C, diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl (5 mL). The mixture was stirred for 0.5 h and then the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 10 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (40 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and filtered through a pad of silica gel. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, 40% acetone in hexanes) to afforded C-O-D/D-O-E system 83 (3.0 mg, 40 %). 83: $R_f = 0.40$ (silica gel, 40 % acetone in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -43.2$ (c = 0.25, MeCN); IR (thin film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 3402, 2926, 1668, 1513, 1350, 1251,$ 1097 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN, 310 K): $\delta = 7.54$ (s, 1 H, H-2b), 7.48 (s, 1H, H-6b), 7.39-7.36 (m, 1H, NH), 7.33-7.28 (m, 3H, NH and H-5b), 7.17 (s, 2 H, H-2f and H-4f), 7.08 - 7.03 (m, 6 H, H-6f, ArH and NH), 6.99 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, H-5c), 6.89 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 6.85 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-6e), 6.82-6.77 (m, 5H, H-2e and ArH), 6.61 (s, 1H, H-4b), 5.88 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, CHAr₂), 5.75 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.71 (s, 1 H, H-2 β), 5.42 (s, 1 H, H-6 β), 5.40 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-4 α), 5.30 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-5 α), 4.63 – 4.59 (m, 2H, H-2 α and H-3 α), 4.54 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, H-6 α), 4.20-4.13 (m, 1H, OCHHCH₃), 4.07-4.01 (m, 1H, OCHHCH₃), 3.81 (s, 3H, 5-OCH₃), 3.80-3.74 (br. s, 4H, NCH₂), 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.67-2.63 (m, 1H, H-3 β), 2.42 (dd, J=16.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H, H-3 β), 2.08-2.05 (br. s, 4H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.39 (s, 9H, tBuO), 1.23 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.91 (s, 9H, tBuSi), 0.83 (s, 9H, tBuSi), 0.13 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), 0.01 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.02 (s, 3H, CH₃Si), -0.09 (s, 3H, CH₃Si); ¹³C NMR $(150 \text{ MHz}, \text{CD}_3\text{CN}): \delta = 174.6, 171.5, 170.0, 169.5, 169.3, 168.6, 160.9, 159.6,$ 159.5, 157.7, 152.5, 152.2, 151.5, 151.3, 140.1, 135.2, 135.1, 134.5, 131.6, 130.6, 130.5, 129.9, 129.3, 129.0, 128.5, 127.8, 127.4, 125.9, 125.6, 120.3, 119.6, 116.9, 115.5, 114.6, 114.5, 108.5, 79.7, 74.0, 73.4, 62.6, 62.0, 60.9, 60.9, 57.8, 56.9, 55.9, 55.7, 54.0, 51.6, 38.3, 28.5, 26.0, 25.9, 24.2, 18.7, 18.4, 14.1, -4.3, -4.9, -5.1, -5.7; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{77}H_{97}N_9O_{16}Si_2Cl_2Cs$ [$M + Cs^+$] 1662.5023, found 1662.5108.

Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. D. H. Huang and G. Suizdak for NMR spectroscopic and mass spectrometric assistance, respectively. This work was financially supported by the National Institutes of Health, USA (AI-38893), The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, postdoctoral fellowships from the National Institutes of Health, USA (to J. M. R.), the NATO/DAAD (to S. B.), and grants from Pfizer, Schering Plough, Hoffmann La Roche, Merck, the George Hewitt Foundation, Abbott Laboratories, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Glaxo.

- K. C. Nicolaou, H. Li, C. N. C. Boddy, J. M. Ramanjulu, T.-Y. Yue, S. Natarajan, X.-J. Chu, S. Bräse, *Chem. Eur. J.* 1999, 5, 2584–2601.
- [2] K. C. Nicolaou, C. N. C. Boddy, S. Natarajan, T.-Y. Yue, H. Li, S. Bräse, J. M. Ramanjulu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 3421–3422.
- [3] K. C. Nicolaou, J. M. Ramanjulu, S. Natarajan, S. Bräse, H. Li, C. N. C. Boddy, F. Rübsam, *Chem. Commun.* 1997, 1899–1900.
- [4] For preliminary communications on the synthesis of vancomycin amino acid building blocks, see: a) K. C. Nicolaou, S. Natarajan, H. Li, N. F. Jain, R. Hughes, M. E. Solomon, J. M. Ramanjulu, C. N. C. Boddy, M. Takayanagi, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 2872–2879; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2708–2714; b) K. C. Nicolaou, N. F. Jain, S. Natarajan, R. Hughes, M. E. Solomon, H. Li, J. M. Ramanjulu, M. Takayanagi, A. E. Koumbis, T. Bando, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 2879–2881; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2714–2717.
- [5] For key papers and reviews, see: reference [1].
- [6] a) D. A. Evans, J. A. Ellman, K. M. DeVries, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8912-8914; b) D. A. Evans, D. A. Evrard, S. D. Rychnovsky, T. Fruh, W. G. Whittingham, K. M. DeVries, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 1189-1192; c) D. A. Evans, C. J. Dinsmore, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 6029-6032; d) D. A. Evans, C. J. Dinsmore, D. A. Evrard, K. M. DeVries, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 6426-6427; e) D. A. Evans, P. S. Watson, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 3251-3254; f) D. A. Evans,

C. J. Dinsmore, A. M. Ratz, D. A. Evrard, J. C. Barrow, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 3417–3418; g) D. A. Evrard, J. C. Barrow, P. S. Watson, A. M. Ratz, C. J. Dinsmore, D. A. Evrard, K. M. D eVries, J. A. Ellman, S. D. Rychnovsky, J. Lacour, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 3419–3420; h) D. A. Evans, C. J. Dinsmore, A. M. Ratz, *Tetrahedron* Lett. **1997**, 38, 3189–3192; i) D. A. Evans, M. R. Wood, B. W. Trotter, T. I. Richardson, J. C. Barrow, J. L. Katz, Angew. Chem. **1998**, 110, 2864–2868; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **1998**, 37, 2700–2704; j) D. A. Evans, C. J. Dinsmore, P. S. Watson, M. R. Wood, T. I. Richardson, B. W. Trotter, J. L. Katz, Angew. Chem. **1988**, 110, 2868–2872; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **1998**, 37, 2704–2708.

- [7] a) D. L. Boger, Y. Nomoto, B. R. Teegarden, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1425-1433; b) D. L. Boger, R. M. Borzilleri, S. Nukui, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1995, 5, 3091-3096; c) D. L. Boger, R. M. Borzilleri, S. Nukui, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 3561-3565; d) D. L. Boger, O. Loiseleur, S. L. Castle, R. T. Beresis, J. H. Wu, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1997, 7, 3199-3202; e) D. L. Boger, R. M. Borzilleri, S. Nukui, R. T. Beresis, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 4721-4736; f) D. L. Boger, R. T. Beresis, O. Loiseleur, J. H. Wu, S. L. Castle, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1998, 8, 721-724; g) D. L. Boger, S. Miyazaki, L. Olivier, R. T. Beresis, S. L. Castle, J. H. Wu, Q. Jin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 8920-8926; h) D. L. Boger, S. L. Castle, S. Miyazaki, J. H. Wu, R. T. Beresis, O. Loiseleur, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 70-80.
- [8] a) R. Beugelmans, G. P. Singh, M. Bois-Choussy, J. Chastanet, J. Zhu, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 5535-5542; b) R. Beugelmans, J. Zhu, N. Husson, M. Bois-Choussy, G. P. Singh, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1994, 439-440; c) R. Beugelmans, S. Bourdet, J. Zhu, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 1279-1282; d) J. Zhu, R. Beugelmans, S. Bourdet, J. Chastanet, G. Roussi, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 6389-6396; e) M. Bois-Choussy, R. Beugelmans, J.-P. Bouillon, J. Zhu, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 4781-4784; f) J. Zhu, J.-P. Bouillon, G. P. Singh, J. Chastanet, R. Beugelmans, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 7081-7084; g) R. Beugelmans, L. Neuville, M. Bois-Choussy, J. Zhu, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 8787-8790; h) R. Beugelmans, M. Bois-Choussy, C. Vergne, J.-P. Bouillon, J. Zhu, Chem. Commun. 1996, 1029-1030; i) M. Bois-Choussy, L. Neuville, R. Beugelmans, J. Zhu, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 9309-9322; j) C. Vergne, M. Bois-Choussy, R. Beugelmans, J. Zhu, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 1403-1406; k) C. Vergne, J.-P. Bouillon, J. Chastanet, M. Bois-Choussy, J. Zhu, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 3095-3103; l) C. Vergne, M. Bois-Choussy, J. Zhu, Synlett 1998, 1159-1160.
- [9] a) A. J. Pearson, J. G. Park, J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 1744–1752; b) A. J. Pearson, J. G. Park, P. Y. Zhu, J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 3583–3589;
 c) A. J. Pearson, H. Shin Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 7527–7538; d) A. J. Pearson, K. Lee, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2304–2313; e) A. J. Pearson, H. Shin, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2314–2323; f) A. J. Pearson, K. Lee, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 7153–7160; g) A. J. Pearson, G. Bignan, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 735–738; h) A. J. Pearson, G. Bignan, P. Zhang, M. Chelliah, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 3940–3941; i) A. J. Pearson, P. Zhang, G. Bignan, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 4536–4538; j) A. J. Pearson, V. C. Mariappan, G. C. Bignan, Synthesis 1997, 536–540.
- [10] a) A. V. R. Rao, T. K. Chakraborty, S. P. Joshi, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, 33, 4045–4048; b) A. V. R. Rao, T. K. Chakraborty, K. L. Reddy, A. S. Rao, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, 33, 4799–4802; c) A. V. R. Rao, M. K. Gurjar, V. Kaiwar, V. B. Khare, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993, 34, 1661–1664; d) A. V. R. Rao, K. L. Reddy, M. M. Reddy, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, 35, 5039–5042; e) A. V. R. Rao, T. K. Chakraborty, K. L. Reddy, A. S. Rao, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, 35, 5043–5046; f) A. V. R. Rao, K. L. Reddy, A. S. Rao, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, 35, 5047–5050; g)

A. V. R. Rao, K. L. Reddy, A. S. Rao, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, 35, 8465–8468; h) A. V. R. Rao, K. L. Reddy, A. S. Rao, T. V. S. K. Vittal, M. M. Reddy, P. L. Pathi, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1996, 37, 3023–3026; i) A. V. R. Rao, M. K. Gurjar, P. Lakshmipathi, M. M. Reddy, M. Nagarajan, S. Pal, B. V. N. B. S. Sarma, N. K. Tripathy, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997, 38, 7433–7426; j) A. V. R. Rao, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1998, 70, 391–396.

- [11] a) N. Pant, A. D. Hamilton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2002–2003;
 b) M. J. Stone, M. S. Van Dyk, P. M. Booth, D. H. Williams, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1991, 1629–1635;
 c) A. G. Brown, M. J. Crimmin, P. D. Edwards, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1992, 123–130;
 d) R. B. Lamont, D. G. Allen, I. R. Clemens, C. E. Newall, M. V. J. Ramsay, M. Rose, S. Fortt, T. Gallagher, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1992, 1693–1695;
 e) K. Nakamura, S. Nishiyama, S. Yamamura, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 191–192;
 f) H. Konishi, T. Okuno, S. Nishiyama, S. Yamamura, K. Koyasu, Y. Terada, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 8791–8794.
- [12] K. C. Nicolaou, S. Natarajan, C. N. C. Boddy, unpublished results, 1998.
- [13] For an alternative preparation of AA-7 building block, see: reference [6b].
- [14] H. C. Kolb, M. S. VanNieuwenhze, K. B. Sharpless, *Chem. Rev.* 1994, 94, 2484–2547.
- [15] S. David, S. Hanessian, Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 643-663.
- [16] V. Snieckus, Chem. Rev. 1990, 90, 879-933.
- [17] For an alternative preparation of AA-5 building block, see: reference [7e].
- [18] M. A. Brook, T. H. Chan, Synthesis 1983, 201–203.
- [19] For alternative preparations of AA-6 building blocks, see: references [6i, 7b, and 8f].
- [20] B. Tao, G. Schlingloff, K. B. Sharpless, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 2507–2509.
- [21] D. Masilamani, M. M. Rogic, J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 4486-4489.
- [22] For alternative preparations of AA-4 building blocks, see: a) F. A. Davis, D. L. Fanelli, *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 1981–1985; b) T. K. Chakraborty, K. A. Hussain, G. V. Reddy, *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 9179–9190; c) T. K. Chakraborty, G. V. Reddy, G. V. Hussain, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 7597–7600; d) references [7c, 8f, 8h, and 8k].
- [23] B. Lal, B. N. Pramanik, M. S. Manhas, A. K. Bose, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1977, 1977–1980.
- [24] Y. G. Gololobov, L. F. Kasukhin, Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 1353-1406.
- [25] W. Koenig, R. Geiger, Chem. Ber. 1970, 103, 2041-2051.
- [26] For alternative preparations of AA-2 building blocks, see: a) A. Girard, C. Greek, D. Ferroud, J. P. Genet, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1996, *37*, 7967–7970; b) A. Solladie-Cavallo, T. Nsenda, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, *39*, 2191–2194; c) references [6e, 7b, 7e and 10e].
- [27] For preparation of amine 6, see: reference [28].
- [28] K. C. Nicolaou, A. E. Koumbis, M. Takayanagi, S. Natarajan, N. F. Jain, T. Bando, H. Li, R. Hughes, *Chem. Eur. J.* **1999**, *5*, 2622–2647.
- [29] K. C. Nicolaou, H. J. Mitchell, N. F. Jain, T. Bando, R. Hughes, N. Winssinger, S. Natarajan, A. E. Koumbis, *Chem. Eur. J.* 1999, 5, 2648– 2667.
- [30] D. Marcovici-Mizrahi, H. E. Gottlieb, V. Marks, A. Nudelman, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 8402–8406.
- [31] B. R. De Costa, A. Lewin, J. A. Schoenheimer, P. Skolnick, K. C. Rice, *Heterocycles* 1991, 32, 2343–2355.

Received: March 29, 1999 [F 1706]